Substrate diffusion and oxidation in GMC oxidoreductases: an experimental and computational study on fungal aryl-alcohol oxidase
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AAO (aryl-alcohol oxidase) provides H2O2 in fungal degradation of lignin, a process of high biotechnological interest. The crystal structure of AAO does not show open access to the active site, where different aromatic alcohols are oxidized. In the present study we investigated substrate diffusion and oxidation in AAO compared with the structurally related CHO (choline oxidase). Cavity finder and ligand diffusion simulations indicate the substrate-entrance channel, requiring side-chain displacements and involving a stacking interaction with Tyr92. Mixed QM (quantum mechanics)/MM (molecular mechanics) studies combined with site-directed mutagenesis showed two active-site catalytic histidine residues, whose substitution strongly decreased both catalytic and transient-state reduction constants for p-anisyl alcohol in the H502A (over 1800-fold) and H546A (over 35-fold) variants. Combination of QM/MM energy profiles, protonation predictors, molecular dynamics, mutagenesis and pH profiles provide a robust answer regarding the nature of the catalytic base. The histidine residue in front of the FAD ring, AAO His502 (and CHO His466), acts as a base. For the two substrates assayed, it was shown that proton transfer preceded hydride transfer, although both processes are highly coupled. No stable intermediate was observed in the energy profiles, in contrast with that observed for CHO. QM/MM, together with solvent KIE (kinetic isotope effect) results, suggest a non-synchronous concerted mechanism for alcohol oxidation by AAO.
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INTRODUCTION

AAO (aryl-alcohol oxidase; EC 1.1.3.7) catalyses the oxidation of aromatic, and some aliphatic polyunsaturated, alcohols with the concomitant production of H2O2 [1] involved in lignin biodegradation in a reaction catalysed by high-redox-potential peroxidases [2]. Lignin removal is a key step for carbon recycling in land ecosystems, and a key issue for the industrial use of plant biomass in lignocellulose biorefineries, where biotechnology will contribute to the sustainable production of biofuels, chemicals and other products [3]. The overall AAO reaction involves a polyunsaturated alcohol, with a primary hydroxy group at the α-carbon, and O2 in the presence of a FAD enzyme cofactor:

\[
\text{alcohol} + \text{O}_2 \xrightarrow{\text{AAO-FAD}} \text{aldehyde} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2
\]

After gene cloning [4], homology modelling of AAO revealed common features with members of the GMC (glucose-methanol-choline oxidase) superfamily of oxidoreductases [5]. One of the similarities with some of these FAD-containing enzymes is the presence of two conserved histidine residues involved in catalysis, His502 and His546 in Pleurotus eryngii AAO. The importance of these AAO histidine residues in the enzymatic process has been shown by mutational studies [6]. The crystal structure for P. eryngii AAO (PDB code 3FIM) has recently been obtained [7], confirming the catalytically relevant positions of His502 and His466, right in the vicinity of the FAD cofactor (Figure 1, left-hand side) and the structural relationship of AAO with CHO (choline oxidase). One of the questions that arises from the inspection of the crystal structure is the entrance of large ligands into the active site. The structure does not present an obvious entrance channel, and the packing in the active site appears quite compact. In particular, Tyr92 blocks access to the catalytic N-5 of the isoalloxazine ring of AAO FAD, requiring large residue reorganization upon ligand entrance.

In the GMC superfamily, the most accepted catalytic mechanism starts with a proton abstraction from an alcohol substrate by an active-site base, which could correspond to the above-mentioned His502 or His546 in P. eryngii AAO and to His466 (or His531) in Arthrobacter globiformis CHO (Figure 1), followed by hydride transfer to the FAD N-5. The process continues by O2 reduction to H2O2 by FAD. Mechanistic studies based on substrate KIEs (kinetic isotope effects) in CHO and methanol oxidase proposed the existence of two distinct steps in the reductive half-reaction, with the rate-limiting step being the hydride transfer from a protein-stabilized substrate alkoxide [8,9]. Mutational studies on CHO [10–12] have aimed to address the role of the active-site residues in the catalytic process. Both His466 and His531 are important for the alcohol proton abstraction, although there is...
not a clear picture of the identity of the base; additionally, Glu\textsuperscript{112} appears to be important for substrate binding by CHO.

Computer simulations, and mechanic and site-directed mutagenesis experiments have been used in the present study to investigate the structural basis for the migration of \textit{p}-anisyl alcohol, the natural AAO substrate [13], and 2,4-hexadien-1-ol, a structurally different AAO substrate [1], into the active site, and the hydride (and proton) transfer reaction during catalysis. For the sake of comparison, simulations of choline oxidation by the related CHO are also presented. Ligand migration and induced fit were simulated with PELE (Protein Energy Landscape Exploration) [14], a recently developed methodology capable of modelling protein–ligand recognition mechanisms. To obtain a description of the oxidation mechanism, we used mixed QM (quantum mechanics)/MM (molecular mechanics) methods that combine a classical region, described by a set of ‘solid’ spheres with point charges and classical force fields, with a quantum region where the Schrödinger equation is solved. Thus the explicit electronic description of the system is limited to the QM region covering all of the atoms involved in the biochemical process [15,16].

**Materials and methods**

**Enzyme and mutated variants**

Recombinant AAO of \textit{P. eryngii} was obtained by 	extit{Escherichia coli} expression of the mature AAO cDNA (GenBank accession number AF064069) followed by \textit{in vitro} activation [17]. AAO variants were prepared using the QuikChange\textsuperscript{®} site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene). For the PCR, the AAO cDNA cloned into the pFLAG1 vector was used as template, together with oligonucleotides bearing the required mutations (see the Supplementary Experimental section at http://www.BiochemJ.org/). Mutations were confirmed by sequencing (GS-FLX sequencer from Roche) and the mutated variants were produced [17]. Enzyme concentrations were determined using molar absorption coefficients: AAO, \( \varepsilon_{\text{463}} = 11050 \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1} \); H502S, \( \varepsilon_{\text{468}} = 9980 \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1} \); H502A, \( \varepsilon_{\text{467}} = 9800 \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1} \); H546S, \( \varepsilon_{\text{465}} = 12400 \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1} \); and H546A, \( \varepsilon_{\text{467}} = 9669 \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1} \).

**Steady-state and transient-state kinetic measurements**

Enzyme activity under steady-state conditions was monitored spectrophotometrically by linear-phase oxidation of \textit{p}-anisyl alcohol (from Sigma–Aldrich) to \textit{p}-anisaldehyde (\( \varepsilon_{\text{285}} = 16950 \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1} \)) [1]. Kinetic constants were determined by varying simultaneously the concentration of \textit{p}-anisyl alcohol and \( \text{O}_2 \) in 0.1 M phosphate (pH 6 at 25 °C), for the wild-type AAO, and H546S and H546A variants (for details see the Supplementary Experimental section). For the H502S and H502A variants, the steady-state constants were determined only by varying the alcohol concentration under air-saturated buffer, since the (low) enzyme turnover rates were independent of the \( \text{O}_2 \) concentration, and the constants were obtained by fitting the initial rate data to the Michaelis–Menten equation. pH-dependence of steady-state kinetic constants in AAO-mutated variants was studied at 25 °C in air-saturated 0.1 M citrate phosphate for pH 3–7, and 0.1 M pyrophosphate for pH 8–9 (the equations used are given in the Supplementary Experimental section). For transient-state kinetic studies, an Applied Photophysics SX18.MV stopped-flow spectrophotometer, interfaced with an Acorn computer, was used (together with the SX18.MV and Xscan softwares). Reductive half-reactions were analysed under anaerobic conditions [18] at different temperatures, and analyses were performed using the Pro-K software (Applied Photophysics) (see the Supplementary Experimental section).

**AAO and CHO model set-up**

AAO computational studies were based on the crystal structure with PDB code 3FIM [7]. After visual inspection, His\textsuperscript{91}, His\textsuperscript{153}, His\textsuperscript{157}, His\textsuperscript{148} and His\textsuperscript{360} were protonated in both their \( \varepsilon \) and \( \delta \) positions (termed Hip, positively charged); all other histidine residues were \( \delta \) protonated (termed Hid). The protonation state of the catalytically active histidine residues, His\textsuperscript{302} and His\textsuperscript{546}, were further studied with MD (molecular dynamics) and QM/MM methods.

For CHO we started from the PDB code 2JBV A-chain holo crystal structure [11]. The side chains of Glu\textsuperscript{222} and Glu\textsuperscript{440} were completed and sampled with PLOP [19]. The oxygen atom forming an adduct with the FAD cofactor [20] was removed.

---

**Figure 1** Active site in AAO and CHO crystal structures

The FAD isoxaloazine ring and five active-site residues are shown (as CPK sticks). A dimethyl sulfoxide (DMS) molecule occupies the CHO substrate-binding site, whereas a water molecule (red sphere) is found at the putative active site of AAO. From PDB codes 3FIM and 2JBV respectively [7,11].
and the crystal substrate analogue DMSO was used to model the cognate choline substrate. After visual inspection, His$^{310}$, His$^{506}$, His$^{257}$ and His$^{148}$ were protonated in their $\epsilon$ position and His$^{316}$ and His$^{49}$ were protonated in both their $\epsilon$ and $\delta$ positions; all other histidine residues were $\delta$ protonated. Owing to the presence of the DMSO molecule, it was not necessary to diffuse the ligand into the active site, as described for AAO. The system was then equilibrated with a 1 ns MD trajectory. After the initial 200 ps in the MD trajectory, the choline substrate adopted a catalytic position, where the alcohol group was in close contact with His$^{466}$.

**Ligand and protein dynamic exploration: PELE**

The PELE algorithm combines a steered stochastic approach with protein structure prediction methods, capable of projecting the migration dynamics of ligands in proteins [14,21,22]. The heuristic algorithm of PELE is based on three main steps: (i) ligand and protein local perturbation, including translation and rotation of the ligand, and protein $\alpha$-carbon displacement following an anisotropic network model approach; (ii) side-chain sampling, by placing all side chains local to the ligand; and (iii) energy minimization, involving the minimization of a region including, at least, all residues local to the atoms involved in (i) and (ii). Typically, a simulation involves several processors running multiple steps and sharing information towards addressing a common task. SiteMap [23] was used for exploring cavities in AAO, and localizing the start point for PELE migrations.

**Protonation predictors and MD calculations**

Three programs were used to calculate theoretical hydrogen positions and $p_K$ values of the putative bases: (i) WHAT IF [24], which uses hydrogen-bonding patterns to choose the best protonation state of titratable residues; (ii) APBS (Adaptive Poisson Boltzmann Solver) [25] to calculate intrinsic $p_K$ shifts by the surrounding residues in single snapshots using the Poisson–Boltzmann equation; and (iii) ICDA (Interaction Cluster Decomposition Algorithm) [26], which uses rotamer library sampling of clusters of titratable residues scored with a MM force-field and generalized Born implicit solvation.

MD calculations were performed with NAMD version 2.6 [27] starting from structures provided by the previous ligand migration. The proteins were solvated in a 0.5 M NaCl solution in periodic boxes of $90 \times 80 \times 70$ Å ($1 \AA = 0.1$ nm). Each system contained approximately 12,000 water molecules and 46,000 total atoms, and was equilibrated by a minimization followed by 10 ps of MD in the NVT (N, number of atoms; V, volume of the system; T, temperature of the system) ensemble followed by 0.5 ns each in the NPT (P, pressure of the system) and NVT ensembles with a step size of 1 fs. Production runs consisted of 5 ns of MD with a 2 fs step size in the NVT ensemble using the particle mesh Ewald method to treat long-range electrostatics.

**QM/MM and QM calculations**

All QM/MM calculations were performed with the Qsite program (Schrödinger) using the DFT (density functional theory) B3LYP level of theory, the 6-31G* basis set and the hydrogen-like boundary approximation. Unless noted, the quantum region included: the flavin, the ligand (alcohol substrate), the three active-site histidine residues (His$^{302}$, His$^{313}$ and His$^{436}$ in AAO and His$^{466}$, His$^{310}$ and His$^{351}$ in CHO), Glu$^{396}$ in AAO and Glu$^{310}$ in CHO. The OPLS-AA (Optimized Potentials for Liquid Simulations–all-atoms) force-field was used for the treatment of the MM part, with a 100 Å non-bonding cut-off. For both enzymes, the QM/MM initial system was obtained after equilibration of an explicit water system with MD and by removing all water molecules beyond 10 Å from the protein surface. All geometry optimizations were performed by harmonically constraining the oxygen atom of the outermost 5 Å of the water layer (beyond 20 Å of any atom in the QM region). Gas-phase second-order MP2 (Moller Plesset) QM calculations were performed using Gaussian03 (Gaussian) with a 6-311G* basis set.

The QM region size (~160 atoms) and methodology (B3LYP) does not allow us to go beyond a QM/MM reaction coordinate analysis (geometry optimizations along a proton/hydride reaction co-ordinate). This same level of theory, however, has shown very good agreement in many enzymatic systems [15,16]. When building the QM/MM reaction co-ordinates, the possibility of a proton transfer followed by a hydride transfer and the reverse process have been considered. The $x$-axis is the sum of the proton- and hydride-transfer distances (proton to proton-acceptor distance); large values corresponding to the alcohol reactants and smaller ones to the aldehyde products. For each protonation state (see below) we studied three different mechanisms. The first two involve only one transferred atom being forced to move (either the proton or the hydride) with 0.15 Å increments. The donor-transferred distance is then constrained, and the rest of the system is minimized. The third mechanism involves the simultaneous (concerted) movement of both atoms.

**RESULTS**

Computational and experimental studies were combined to unravel the mechanism of alcohol oxidation at the buried active site of AAO. First, the alcohol-migration pathway from the protein surface was determined using the PELE software after cavity exploration. Then, the role of two active-site histidine residues was experimentally investigated by site-directed mutagenesis, and their protonation states predicted with the purpose of identifying the catalytic base. Finally, energy profiles of the reactions of AAO, and related CHO, were obtained by QM/MM methods to determine the timing of the proton and hydride transfers, and the existence of reaction intermediates.

**Ligand migration to the AAO active site**

In the AAO crystal structure, the buried active site has only a few water molecules, without a large cavity, and with the access to the catalytic N-5 of the FAD blocked by Tyr$^{32}$ and other residues (Figure 1, left-hand side). Thus direct docking of large ligands, such as AAO aromatic alcohol substrates, does not seem an appropriate procedure. This was confirmed when exploring possible cavities with SiteMap, which could only find binding sites on the protein surface. Thus we proceeded to dock the ligand on the surface for subsequent migration into the active site by PELE. Interestingly, the highest score surface lay in the vicinity of Pro$^{402}$, next to a flexible loop that connects the surface and the active site. To better characterize the migration entrance, we mutated in silico the active-site water molecule shown in Figure 1 into methanol, and performed migration simulations by passing to PELE the task of moving the ligand away from its initial position, with random non-preassigned directions. After three simulations with different Metropolis temperatures (300, 500 and 1000 K), all simulations resulted in the same exit pathway, ending in the SiteMap best scoring surface cavity (Supplementary Figure S1 at http://www.BiochemJ.org/bj/436/bj4360341add.htm).
Figure 2 Energy profile for substrate diffusion into the AAO active site obtained with PELE

The x-axis shows the distance of the N-5 position of the isoalloxazine ring of FAD to the 2,4-hexadien-1-ol centre of mass, and the y-axis shows the internal energies of hundreds of conformations obtained by PELE (points correspond to the different conformations mapped by 12 processors working for 10 h).

The agreement between the surface cavity finder and the methanol expulsion migration clearly points to the entrance of the ligand migration channel. We placed the p-anisyl alcohol and 2,4-hexadien-1-ol ligands at this surface point and asked PELE to migrate them towards the active site by setting a common task of shortening the distance with His502, whose role in catalysis is discussed below. Figure 2 shows the energy profile for this diffusion to the active site for the 2,4-hexadien-1-ol ligand, which was equivalent to the p-anisyl alcohol energy profile. The internal energy of hundreds of conformations is plotted against the ligand–FAD distance, and three minima are clearly observed. The energy profile indicates that the entrance is located at a distance of \( \sim 17 \text{ Å} \) from the isoalloxazine ring, next to Pro 402. From this point, the motion of the ligand is highly coupled to oscillations of the Gln395–Thr406 loop. The second minimum corresponds to the first stable intermediate at \( \sim 11 \text{ Å} \) from the isoalloxazine ring of FAD, where it interacts (through a \( \pi \) interaction) with Phe397. At this stage, further migration of the ligand to the active site requires side-chain readjustment of this phenylalanine residue, of several hydrophobic residues (such as Leu\(^{313}\) and Ile\(^{391}\)) and of Tyr\(^{92}\). In the active site, the ligands adopt catalytically active conformations at distances of \( \sim 5 \text{ Å} \) (ligand centre of mass to N-5) from the isoalloxazine ring.

Figure 3 shows three snapshots along the p-anisyl alcohol migration pathway corresponding to the three energy minima shown in Figure 2. Figure 3(A) shows a representative conformation for the surface-docked structure. Figure 3(B) shows the intermediate where the \( \pi-\pi \) stacking between the substrate p-anisyl alcohol and Phe\(^{397}\) can be clearly observed. Figure 3(C) indicates an active-site conformation, where the the proton and hydride abstraction co-ordinates are indicated with pink lines. This last structure corresponds to the catalytically active species from which the QM/MM and other calculations described below were performed.

The latter structure also suggests that Tyr\(^{92}\) at the active site would establish \( \pi-\pi \) stacking interactions with the alcohol substrate. The interaction observed is not a parallel stacking interaction, but an edge-to-face (T-shaped) interaction (Figure 3C). QM MP2 interaction energies, at the final geometries obtained with PELE, confirmed the nature of these stacking interactions. We obtained \(-2.7\) and \(-1.6\) kcal·mol\(^{-1}\) (1 kcal = 4.184 kJ) stabilization energies for the p-anisyl and 2,4-hexadien-1-ol alcohols respectively.

Additionally, three movies were produced to illustrate the ligand migration into the active site (Supplementary Movies S1–S3 at http://www.BiochemJ.org/bj/436/bj4360341add.htm).

Figure 3 Three representative snapshots along substrate diffusion into the AAO active site

Three different situations during PELE-predicted migration of p-anisyl alcohol into the AAO active site are shown. (C) corresponds to a catalytically active conformation, where the proton- and hydride-transfer co-ordinates (to His\(^{502}\) \( \text{N}\)r and isoalloxazine N-5 respectively) are shown in pink. Alcohol entrance (A) and an intermediate position of the migration trajectory (B) are also shown.

Mutagenesis of active-site histidine residues: kinetic parameters and pH profiles

In addition to the above tyrosine residue involved in substrate stabilization, the AAO active site also includes two conserved histidine residues (Figure 1). Using site-directed mutagenesis, we experimentally replaced these two histidine residues with serine and alanine residues in the H502S/A and H546S/A independent variants. The two H502S/A variants showed a strong turnover decrease (approximately 2900-fold), whereas the H546S/A mutations caused milder decreases (12- and 60-fold respectively) (Table 1). All of the substitutions also caused an increase in the \( K_m \) for the alcohol substrate, which was higher for the H502S/A (27- and 80-fold increases respectively) than for the H546S/A (8- and 25-fold increases respectively) variants. The above changes made the H546S, H546A, H502S and H502A
Table 1  Steady- and transient-state kinetic parameters of wild-type recombinant AAO and its His<sup>502</sup> and His<sup>546</sup> variants in the reaction with p-anisyl alcohol as a substrate

Steady-state constants for native AAO and its H546S and H546A variants were determined by simultaneously varying the concentrations of p-anisyl alcohol and O<sub>2</sub> in 0.1 M phosphate (pH 6), at 25°C, whereas those of the other variants were determined in air-saturated buffer (no O<sub>2</sub>-concentration-dependence). Steady-state kinetic constants included turnover rates (k<sub>cat</sub>) and Michaelis constants for the alcohol (K<sub>m</sub>) measured at 25°C variants respectively, using the buffer described above. Ins, not determined because of H546S instability during stopped-flow determinations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>AAO</th>
<th>H502S</th>
<th>H502A</th>
<th>H546S</th>
<th>H546A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Steady-state</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k&lt;sub&gt;cat&lt;/sub&gt; (s&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>208 ± 5</td>
<td>0.069 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.072 ± 0.002</td>
<td>17 ± 1</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k&lt;sub&gt;cat&lt;/sub&gt;/K&lt;sub&gt;m&lt;/sub&gt; (µM)</td>
<td>48 ± 3</td>
<td>1289 ± 246</td>
<td>3820 ± 234</td>
<td>310 ± 56</td>
<td>1160 ± 33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k&lt;sub&gt;cat&lt;/sub&gt;/K&lt;sub&gt;d&lt;/sub&gt; (mM&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;·s&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>4330 ± 290</td>
<td>0.054 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.019 ± 0.001</td>
<td>53 ± 11</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transient-state</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k&lt;sub&gt;red&lt;/sub&gt; (s&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>139 + 16</td>
<td>0.111 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.076 ± 0.001</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>3.96 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k&lt;sub&gt;d&lt;/sub&gt; (µM)</td>
<td>26 ± 5</td>
<td>4740 ± 184</td>
<td>3180 ± 74</td>
<td>ins</td>
<td>1310 ± 30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4  pH-dependence of kinetic constants for two mutated AAO variants

Apparent catalytic efficiency (k<sub>cat</sub>/K<sub>m</sub>) in mM<sup>-1</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> (A) and turnover (k<sub>cat</sub>/K<sub>d</sub> in min<sup>-1</sup>) (B) for p-anisyl alcohol oxidation by the H546S and H502S AAO variants were determined at different pH values, under air saturation conditions at 25°C, and presented using logarithmic transformation (and two different y-axes in B).

variants respectively, 80-, 1450-, 80 000- and over 200000-fold less efficient than wild-type AAO in oxidizing p-anisyl alcohol.

The reductive (substrate oxidation) half-reactions of the H502S/A and H546A variants were further investigated under anaerobic conditions using stopped-flow spectrophotometry (the low stability of H546S prevented this characterization). As previously found for AAO, no intermediates were detected during flavin reduction to the hydroquinone state in these variants. The observed rate constants showed hyperbolic dependence on the p-anisyl alcohol concentration, allowing determination of AAO reduction (k<sub>red</sub>) and substrate dissociation (K<sub>d</sub>) constants (Table 1). The k<sub>red</sub> values for the H546A, H502S, H502A and variants were at least 35-, 1200- and 1800-fold lower respectively than those of the wild-type AAO, and a strong decrease in the alcohol affinity (50–200-fold higher K<sub>d</sub> values) was also observed. Taken together, these results confirm that both His<sup>502</sup> and His<sup>546</sup> participate in AAO catalysis, playing a crucial role during the reductive half-reaction.

Wild-type AAO does not show a clear pH-dependence for oxidation of p-anisyl alcohol and other alcohol substrates. However, replacement of His<sup>546</sup> resulted in a clear effect of pH in the oxidation of p-anisyl alcohol (Figure 4). The catalytic efficiency profile of H546S showed a residue in the free enzyme with an apparent pK<sub>a</sub> value of 3.8 that must be unprotonated for catalysis. The effect of pH on the variant turnover resulted in a bell-shaped profile, consistent with the involvement of two ionizable groups in the substrate-binding site. One group with a pK<sub>a</sub> ≤ 3.5 must be unprotonated for activity, and one with a pK<sub>a</sub> ≥ 8.3 must be protonated. As shown in Table 1, the activity of the H502S and H502A variants was extremely low, hampering catalytic efficiency estimation under different pH conditions. However, pK<sub>a</sub> ≥ 7.5 could be determined for the H502S turnover (Figure 4B). This corresponds to a residue that must be protonated during turnover at the same time that the previously observed pK<sub>a</sub> ≤ 3.5 disappeared, suggesting that it corresponds to the His<sup>502</sup> removed in the present variant.

Protonation states of His<sup>502</sup> and His<sup>546</sup>, and other active-site residues

The mutational studies indicate the importance of His<sup>502</sup> and His<sup>546</sup> in catalysis, but do not reveal whether they are protonated at the βε positions (Hid/Hie) or at both positions (Hip), a central aspect to identify the catalytic base. We have studied the protonation state of the Glu<sup>389</sup>/His<sup>546</sup>/His<sup>502</sup>/His<sup>313</sup> cluster with three different predictors. With the alcohol substrate present, all of these methods agreed that the net charge of the cluster should be zero, with the differences being which residue should be preferentially protonated. WHAT IF and ICDA show protonation of Glu<sup>389</sup>, whereas APBS indicates protonation of His<sup>546</sup>. Thus, as expected,
Glh389/Hid502, with both histidine residues only being protonated at N transfer occurred between His546 and Glu389, forming a neutral structure. APBS, for example, gives a pK for Hip313 or Hip502, this resulted in a less stable conformation (similar to the highest pK Hip502/Hid546 protonation state. The heavy-atom RMSD (root mean square deviation) to the crystal structure in CHO indicates a correlation between the substrate-free crystal structure with the three histidine residues, the glutamic acid residue, Glh389, and reducing the charge separation (to flavin N-5) distances were simultaneously optimal (see the Supplementary Results section and Supplementary Figure S2 at http://www.BiochemJ.org/bj/436/bj4360341add.htm). To illustrate the situation, Figures 5(A) and 5(B) indicate the initial protonation and pKC experimentally obtained for the AAO histidine variants; Figure 4B) corresponding to a residue that should be protonated for catalysis, whereas we obtain a value of 5.4 for Glu112 in CHO.

To further explore the possible combinations between His502 and His546, we performed 5 ns MD for six different protonation states: His546 in three possible protonation states (Hid, Hip and Hie) times two possible protonation states for His502 (Hid and Hie). The results show that only the combination of Hip313 and Hid502 produce a stable catalytic species where both the proton abstraction (by the histidine base) and the hydride transfer (to flavin N-5) distances were simultaneously optimal (see the Supplementary Results section and Supplementary Figure S2). The side chain of His546 has been flipped with respect to the deposited crystal structure. The negative charge of Glu389 in the active site is screened, although it is not clear which residue carries the proton.

To determine more accurately which of these would be protonated, a QM/MM minimization was performed on the substrate-free crystal structure with the three histidine residues, the glutamic acid residue and the flavin included as the QM region. When His546 was protonated (Hip546), a spontaneous proton transfer occurred between His546 and Glu389, forming a neutral glutamic acid residue, Glh389, and reducing the charge separation in the active site. When His513 or His502 were protonated (to give Hip513 or Hip502), this resulted in a less stable conformation (+8.9 and +2.5 kcal·mol−1 respectively) than His546 being protonated. The heavy-atom RMSD (root mean square deviation) to the crystal structure was also smaller when Hip546 was protonated (0.63 Å) confirming that the final state, with the neutral Glu389, is the correct starting configuration. The same results were obtained with p-anisyl alcohol bound. The neutral nature of Glu389 agrees with its buried hydrophobic environment in the crystal structure. This is in contrast with the equivalent glutamic acid residue in CHO, Glu312, which is in contact with a large water cluster in the crystal structure. APBS, for example, gives a pK for Glu389 of 8.19 (similar to the highest pK, experimentally obtained for the AAO histidine variants; Figure 4B) corresponding to a residue that should be protonated for catalysis, whereas we obtain a value of 5.4 for Glu112 in CHO.

To illustrate the situation, Figures 5(A) and 5(B) indicate the initial and final states when His546 is protonated, which is favoured by the QM/MM and MD simulations, and Figure 5(C) indicates the His502/Hie546 protonation state.

**Figure 5** Putative protonation states of conserved histidine residues at the AAO active site

(A-C) The initial His546 protonation state (Hid502/Hip546, with His502 only protonated at N and His546 doubly protonated) (A), the final His546 protonation state (Gih502/Hid546 or simply Glh502/Hid546, with both histidine residues only being protonated at N and Glu389 being protonated) (B), and the His502 protonation state (His502/Hie546, with both histidine residues only being protonated at N) (C). The crystal structure in CHO indicates a correlation between His546 and AAO His502, whereas the position of AAO His546 is occupied by an asparagine residue in CHO (Figure 1). There is, however, a second histidine residue in CHO capable of acting as a base: His513. We have tried four different possibilities for the base in CHO using QM/MM: His513, His466, Glu112 and the flavin O-4. Only when using His466 as a base did we obtain a low barrier energy profile with a stable alkoxide intermediate. Using flavin O-4 as a base results in a profile with an energy increase. Analogous to His546 in AAO, abstraction of a proton from His513 induced a spontaneous transfer from the alcohol oxidation. Previous analyses have indicated that the Glh502/Hid502/Hid546 state is preferred to the His502/Hie546 one (Figures 5B and 5C respectively). We have built, however, the oxidation energy profiles for both cases, which were labelled as Glh546/Hid502 and Hie502/Hie546. In the first case, His502 accepts the proton and His546 hydrogen-bonds the oxygen alcohol. For the Hie502/Hie546 case the roles are inverted.

Figure 6(A) shows the energy profile obtained for these two protonation states for p-anisyl alcohol. As expected in these types of reaction mechanism, the profiles indicate that the proton is the first to be transferred; starting the process with the hydride results in larger (and earlier) energy barriers. In all cases, the main component of the energy barrier corresponds to the hydride transfer. Figure 6(B) shows the corresponding energy profiles for the 2,4-hexadien-1-ol oxidation. We plot the energy profiles where the proton is being transferred first since the hydride first mechanism gave identical results as in the p-anisyl case. The third mechanism explored, the concerted-synchronous transfer with simultaneous transfer of the proton and hydride, introduces higher barriers for both substrates. All attempts to locate a transition state for the synchronous transfer, in any of the substrates, resulted in barriers above 30 kcal·mol−1.

In the His502/Hie546 case (Figure 5C), transferring the proton to His546 involves a second spontaneous proton-transfer event to Glu389 in both 2,4-hexadien-1-ol and p-anisyl alcohol. Therefore the glutamic acid side chain acts as the final base, in agreement with the previous protonation and pK calculations. In the Glh502/Hid502 case (Figure 5B), His502 is hydrogen-bonded to another histidine residue, His513, which could act as the final base. However, all attempts to expand the proton relay to His513, before and after the hydride transfer and for both substrates, resulted in non-stable structures, the proton being transferred back to His502.

The QM/MM analysis of the AAO and CHO enzymatic reactions

From the catalytically active species produced after ligand migration, we proceeded to compute the QM/MM energy profile for the alcohol oxidation. Previous analyses have indicated that the Glh502/Hid502/Hid546 state is preferred to the Hie502/Hie546 one (Figures 5B and 5C respectively). We have built, however, oxidation energy profiles for both cases, which were labelled as Glh502/Hid502 and Hie502/Hie546. In the first case, His502 accepts the proton and His546 hydrogen-bonds the oxygen alcohol. For the Hie502/Hie546 case the roles are inverted.
Figure 6 Reaction profiles of p-anisyl alcohol and 2,4-hexadien-1-ol dehydrogenation by AAO

QM/MM energy profiles obtained for the reactions of AAO with p-anisyl alcohol (A) and 2,4-hexadien-1-ol (B). In these computations, two protonation states of Glu389, His502, and His546 (Figures 5B and 5C), and two orders of the hydride-proton-transfer reaction were considered in the following combinations: (i) Glu389/His502/His546 state and reaction initiated by proton transfer (C); (ii) Glu389/His502/His546 and reaction initiated by hydride transfer (D); (iii) His502/His546 state and reaction initiated by proton transfer (E); and (iv) His502/His546 state and reaction initiated by hydride transfer (F). The course of the reaction, from left to right on the x-axis, was estimated as the total distance between the alcohol hydroxy hydrogen and the catalytic histidine residue (H+ transfer), plus the distance between its benzylic hydrogen and the flavin N-5 (H+ transfer).

Figure 7 Energy profiles for p-anisyl alcohol oxidation by AAO and choline oxidation by CHO

The energy profiles for p-anisyl alcohol oxidation by AAO (○) and choline oxidation by CHO (○), in reactions initiated by proton transfer to the catalytic base (His502 and His546 respectively) followed by hydride transfer to flavin N-5, were obtained by QM/MM calculations. The course of the reaction, from left to right on the x-axis, was estimated as the total distance between the alcohol hydroxy hydrogen and the catalytic histidine (H+ transfer), plus the distance between its benzylic hydrogen and the flavin N-5 (H+ transfer).

DISCUSSION

Substrate diffusion to reach the buried active site of AAO

The simulations on ligand binding and migration clearly indicate that the alcohol substrate entrance into the active site of AAO is highly coupled to oscillations of the Gln395–Thr406 loop. As recently reported [7], this loop represents a characteristic structural feature of AAO crystal structure, when compared with glucose oxidase and CHO structures. Although its active-site entrance is considerably more open, owing to the absence of the loop, a gating mechanism has recently been proposed in CHO [30].

The energy profile shows the existence of an intermediate along the PELE migration pathway, where the AAO ligand interacts with Phe997. Ligand entrance coupled to phenylalanine motions seems to be a common feature and has been observed in several systems, such as cytochrome P450cam [14,31]. Once in the active site, the ligand easily adopts a catalytic position, with the alcohol hydrogen pointing to the possible histidine bases, and one of the α-hydrogens pointing to the FAD N-5 for hydride transfer (at 2.4–2.5 Å distances) by means of a π–π interaction with Tyr92. The present study suggests that the latter is a T-shaped interaction. T-shaped stacking interactions have been largely characterized in biological systems [32], also being one of the main local minima in the benzene dimer [33]. Reported mutations of AAO Tyr92 [6] have shown that an aromatic residue is required at this position, the Y92A variant being inactive but the Y92F variant maintaining basically the same kinetic parameters of the wild-type enzyme, supporting the involvement of the above π–π interaction in AAO catalysis.

Concerted non-synchronous mechanism for alcohol oxidation by AAO

The role of conserved active-site histidine residues as putative catalytic bases and acids for the reductive and oxidative half-reactions respectively has been extensively discussed in the GMC superfamily [8,34–36].
Our mutational studies indicate the importance of the two AAO histidine residues in the first step of the redox process (i.e., the reductive half-reaction). His\textsuperscript{348} seems to play a similar role in substrate binding and oxidation, as revealed by a 20–60-fold decrease in \(k_{\text{cat}}\) and \(k_{\text{red}}\), and similar increases in alcohol \(k_{\text{cat}}\) and \(k_{\text{red}}\), for the H546A variant. This role of His\textsuperscript{348} on substrate stabilization agrees with the MM studies. The Hid502/Hip546 protonation state produces the best alignment for the ligand catalysis, with an optimal proton- and hydride-transfer distance. Inspection of the crystal structure, with His\textsuperscript{546} lying next to Glu\textsuperscript{389}, seems to indicate the positive nature of the former residue (or the protonation of Glu\textsuperscript{389}). The protonation studies further agree with this visual inspection: Glu\textsuperscript{389} is protonated, with His\textsuperscript{546} and His\textsuperscript{502} being neutral and with \(\delta^+\) protonation. Thus, in the wild-type enzyme, we would expect His\textsuperscript{502} to act as the base, in agreement with the mutational studies showing an approximate 3000-fold decrease in \(k_{\text{cat}}\), and at least a 1000-fold decrease in \(k_{\text{red}}\), after its substitution by alanine or serine. It is necessary to mention that, in addition to its main role as the catalytic base, an effect on substrate binding is also exerted by His\textsuperscript{502}, resulting in lower affinity (27–80-fold higher alcohol \(K_{\text{m}}\), and 100–200-fold higher \(K_{\text{d}}\)) in the above variants. Mutations that might open the AAO active site or change its polarization, decreasing Glu\textsuperscript{389} \(pK_a\), would open the possibility of using His\textsuperscript{348} as a base.

The AAO QM/MM energy profiles indicate the presence of very weak (with a \(\sim\)1–2 kcal \(\cdot\) mol\(^{-1}\) barrier back to the reactants) intermediates in alcohol oxidation. The calculations provide only internal energies, not including vibration zero-point nor thermal energies. Corrections for these energies are in the order of 3–4 kcal \(\cdot\) mol\(^{-1}\) for a proton-transfer reaction [37–39] (see, for example, Figure 3 in [37]). Thus including these effects in all energy profiles will present no intermediates, indicating a concerted mechanism for the proton and hydride transfers in AAO catalysis. This is in agreement with mechanistic studies using \(\alpha\)-dideuterated \(p\)-anisyl alcohol and 2,4-hexadien-1-ol as substrates and deuterium oxide as reaction solvent [40]. The reduction in \(pK_a\) for Glu\textsuperscript{312}, compared with that for Glu\textsuperscript{389} in AAO, drastically increases the energy barrier and intermediate energies for the second histidine path in CHO, the His\textsuperscript{351}–Glu\textsuperscript{312} path. Although the studies of Gadda and co-workers [10,12] have not definitively concluded the nature of the CHO base, mutation of His\textsuperscript{348} has the larger effect on \(k_{\text{cat}}\). More recently, Gadda [8] suggested that either one of the active-site histidine residues in CHO (His\textsuperscript{351} and His\textsuperscript{348}) act as the base (being replaced by the second histidine residue when mutated) or, alternatively, the acidification of the substrate hydroxy group and proton loss to the solvent may occur through interactions with multiple active-site residues. However, the observation of a two-step kinetic process in CHO, and the existence of a stable intermediate in the QM/MM energy profiles obtained in the present study, suggest His\textsuperscript{348} as being the base in CHO. H466A mutation drastically reduces \(k_{\text{cat}}\) and eliminates the two-step process [8,10], which would be consistent with the activation of the His\textsuperscript{351}–Glu\textsuperscript{312} path, with a proton abstraction energy barrier equivalent to that of the hydride transfer.

One of the main differences between CHO and AAO is the presence of a stable intermediate for the initial proton transfer in CHO. This intermediate is partially the result of a proton relay involving His\textsuperscript{348} and His\textsuperscript{312}. The same mechanism could be operative in AAO owing to the presence of His\textsuperscript{312}. However, our calculations indicate that in AAO this second proton transfer does not occur. The local environment of this second histidine residue is significantly different in both enzymes. In CHO, His\textsuperscript{312} is in closer interaction with two carbonyl groups, from Val\textsuperscript{307} and Thr\textsuperscript{306}, and its side chain forms a stacking interaction with another histidine side chain, that of His\textsuperscript{356}. Thus the proton relay in CHO seems more favourable owing to the electrostatic stabilization of the two carbonyl groups and the \(\pi\)-cation interaction with His\textsuperscript{356}. The existence of a stable intermediate in the proton transfer in CHO agrees with the observations of a two-step process for this enzyme, as opposed to the concerted mechanism observed in AAO. The existence of these divergent mechanisms is in agreement with the absence of a solvent KIE in choline oxidation by CHO investigated between CHO and AAO.

### Catalytic mechanisms in GMC oxidoreductases: a comparison between CHO and AAO

The catalytic mechanism of CHO has been investigated in detail as a model GMC oxidoreductase [8]. In CHO the active-site polarity changes significantly with respect to AAO. The \(pK_a\) analysis of its active-site glutamic acid residue, Glu\textsuperscript{312}, reveals an anionic side chain at physiological pH. Mutation of Glu\textsuperscript{312} causes a 500-fold increase in the \(K_d\) value [11]. Thus it seems clear that this glutamic acid residue remains negative for an effective interaction with the trimethylammonium group of choline.

When comparing the CHO QM/MM energy profiles, we obtain His\textsuperscript{348} as the main base (in both AAO and CHO the histidine residue in front of the flavin appears as the base). The reduction in \(pK_a\) for Glu\textsuperscript{312}, compared with that for Glu\textsuperscript{389} in AAO, drastically increases the energy barrier and intermediate energies for the second histidine path in CHO, the His\textsuperscript{351}–Glu\textsuperscript{312} path. Although the studies of Gadda and co-workers [10,12] have not definitively concluded the nature of the CHO base, mutation of His\textsuperscript{348} has the larger effect on \(k_{\text{cat}}\). More recently, Gadda [8] suggested that either one of the active-site histidine residues in CHO (His\textsuperscript{351} and His\textsuperscript{348}) act as the base (being replaced by the second histidine residue when mutated) or, alternatively, the acidification of the substrate hydroxy group and proton loss to the solvent may occur through interactions with multiple active-site residues. However, the observation of a two-step kinetic process in CHO, and the existence of a stable intermediate in the QM/MM energy profiles obtained in the present study, suggest His\textsuperscript{348} as being the base in CHO. H466A mutation drastically reduces \(k_{\text{cat}}\) and eliminates the two-step process [8,10], which would be consistent with the activation of the His\textsuperscript{351}–Glu\textsuperscript{312} path, with a proton abstraction energy barrier equivalent to that of the hydride transfer.
under both steady-state and transient-state conditions [43,44], whereas a solvent effect was observed for AAO oxidation of the two alcohols investigated in the present study [40] (Table 2). The non-synchronous concerted mechanism for alcohol oxidation by AAO, on the basis of both the KIE results and QM/MM energy profiles, would be different from the sequential mechanism proposed for CHO and other GMC oxidoreductases [8,43].

Conclusions

Using experimental and computational techniques we have studied the ligand diffusion and oxidation processes in AAO, compared with CHO. We find that the substrate entrance is next to the Glu394–Thr406 loop, bypassing and interacting with Phe397, and, once in the active site, side-chain readjustment is required for a stacking interaction with Tyr25. QM/MM and site-directed mutagenesis results show that His502 and His386 are involved in catalysis, their substitution strongly decreasing both $k_{cat}$ and $k_{red}$.

The theoretical energy profiles, protonation predictors and mutagenesis studies provide a robust answer regarding the nature of the catalytic base: the histidine residue directly in front of the FAD cofactor ring (AAO His502, corresponding to CHO His386) acts as the base. Furthermore, for the two substrates assayed, it was shown that proton transfer precedes hydride transfer, although both processes are highly coupled. In AAO the QM/MM energies do not find a stable intermediate along the proton- and hydride-transfer co-ordinates. In CHO, however, we find a stable intermediate after proton abstraction, in agreement with previous findings. The atomic resolution of the computational analysis allows us to narrow down these differences with changes in the active site. Overall the combination of theoretical and solvent KIE studies suggests a non-synchronous concerted mechanism for alcohol oxidation by AAO.
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Substrate diffusion and oxidation in GMC oxidoreductases: an experimental and computational study on fungal aryl-alcohol oxidase
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EXPERIMENTAL

Site-directed mutagenesis oligonucleotides

For site-directed mutagenesis using PCR, the following oligonucleotides (direct sequences) bearing mutations (underlined) at the corresponding triplets (bold) were used as primers: (i) H502S, 5'-GCCAACACGATTTTCAGCCAGGTGGAGACGCC-3'; (ii) H502A, 5'-GCCAACACGATTTTCGCCAGGACGGT-GTGAACCGGCC-3'; (iii) H546S, 5'-CCCTTCCAGCCACGCAAGTACCAAGGACCG-3'; and (iv) H546A, 5'-CCCTTCGCGCCCAACGCAAGTACCAAGGACCG-3'.

Steady-state kinetic constants

Steady-state kinetic constants for the wild-type AAO, and the H546S and H546A variants were determined varying simultaneously the concentration of p-anisyl alcohol (4–2000 μM) and O2 (51, 128, 273, 566 and 1279 μM) in 0.1 M phosphate (pH 6), at 25°C. The constants were obtained by fitting (using Sigmaplot) the initial rate data to eqn (1) which describes a ternary exponential decay. Where: v represents the enzyme concentration; kcat is the maximal turnover; A is the concentration of alcohol; B is the concentration of O2; KAm and KBm are the Michaelis constants for A and B respectively; and KdA is the dissociation constant for A.

\[
v = \frac{k_{\text{cat}}AB}{K_{\text{BM}} + K_{\text{AM}}B + AB + K_{\text{D}}K_{\text{BM}}} \quad (1)
\]

Steady-state kinetic constants for the H502S and H502A variants were determined by varying the alcohol concentration (62–5000 μM) under air-saturated buffer, since the (low) enzyme turnover rates were independent from O2 concentration. The constants were obtained by fitting the initial rate data to the Michaelis–Menten equation.

pH-dependence profiles

The pH-dependence of the apparent catalytic constant, \( k_{\text{cat}} \), and efficiency, \( k_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{m}} \), was determined by fitting initial rates to eqn (2–4). Data showing a slope of +1 and −1 were fitted to eqn 2 and eqn 3, where \( pK_{\text{A}} \) and \( pK_{\text{d}} \) represent the pK values of the acid and basic residues respectively. Data for the bell-shaped pH rate profile were fitted to eqn 4. C is the pH-independent value of the kinetic parameter of interest.

\[
\log Y = \log \left( \frac{C}{1 + 10^{pH-pK_{\text{A}}}} \right) 
\]  \quad (2)

\[
\log Y = \log \left( \frac{C}{1 + 10^{pH-pK_{\text{d}}}} \right) 
\]  \quad (3)

\[
\log Y = \log \left( \frac{C}{1 + 10^{-pK_{\text{A}}} + 10^{-pK_{\text{d}}}} \right) 
\]  \quad (4)

Stopped-flow kinetic measurements

An Applied Photophysics SX18.MV stopped-flow spectrophotometer, interfaced with an Acorn computer, was used. The SX18.MV and Xscan software were applied to experiments with single-wavelength and diode-array (350–700 nm) detectors respectively. Retractive half-reactions were studied under anaerobic conditions. Tonometers containing enzyme or substrate solutions were made anaerobic by successive evacuation and flushing with argon. These solutions also contained glucose (10 mM) and glucose oxidase (10 units·ml⁻¹) to ensure anaerobic conditions. Drive syringes in the apparatus were made anaerobic by sequentially passing dithionite and O2-free buffer.

Measurements were carried out in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 6), at 25°C for H502S, H502A and H546A variants, and at 12°C for wild-type AAO due to its extremely rapid reduction. Spectral evolution analysis of reductive-half reactions was performed by global analysis and numerical integration methods using the Pro-K software (Applied Photophysics). Data were fitted to a single-step A→B model where species A and B are the spectral species of oxidized and reduced enzyme respectively. Accurate observed rate constants (\( k_{\text{obs}} \)) were obtained from single-wavelength traces at 463 nm (wild-type AAO) or 468 nm (H502S, H502A and H546A variants), and fitted using a standard single exponential decay. \( k_{\text{obs}} \) values at different substrate concentrations (S) were fitted to eqn (5), where \( k_{\text{red}} \) and \( K_d \) are the flavin reduction and dissociation constants respectively.

\[
k_{\text{obs}} = \frac{k_{\text{red}}S}{K_d + S} \quad (5)
\]
RESULTS

Hydrogen-bond network MD

To further explore the possible combinations between His$^{502}$ and His$^{546}$, we performed 5 ns MD for six different protonation states: His$^{546}$ in three possible protonation states (Hid, Hie and Hip) times two possible protonation states for His$^{502}$ (Hid and Hie). The protonation state of His$^{313}$ was modified in each of these simulations (to Hid$^{313}$ or Hie$^{313}$) to preserve the hydrogen bond. Figure S2 shows the hydride-transfer and the proton-transfer distances along the MD simulations for the only two protonation states capable of a proton transfer. When a proton transfer to Hid$^{502}$ was desired, only Hip$^{546}$ produced structures capable of supporting the transfer. The combination of Hip$^{546}$ and Hid$^{502}$ produced a stable catalytic species where both the proton abstraction and the hydride-transfer distances were simultaneously optimal, as seen in Figure S2A. When the possibility for a transfer to Hid$^{546}$ was considered, only the Hie$^{502}$ could maintain an optimal proton-transfer distance. The combination of Hie$^{546}$ and Hie$^{502}$ produced the possibility for a proton transfer or a hydride transfer independently, but did not allow for a simultaneous transfer of both hydrogens (H$^+$ and H$^-$), as clearly seen when comparing both distances in Figure S2B. This last combination requires Glu$^{389}$ to be deprotonated, in disagreement with the pK$_a$ predictors. The other protonation states did not stabilize structures capable of a proton transfer to His$^{546}$ or His$^{502}$, and later results showed that the enzymatic barrier is significantly higher when the hydride transfer must precede the proton transfer.

---

Figure S1  Methanol exit channel in AAQ

PELE prediction of methanol migration trajectory, as a series of cyan van der Waals spheres, from the AAQ active site, near the isoalloxazine ring of FAD (white spheres), to the protein surface. The 395–406 loop (dark blue) involved in this migration movement is indicated, including the position of Pro$^{396}$ (green spheres).

Figure S2  Histidine protonation studies

Proton- and hydride-transfer distances from the (p-anisyl) alcohol benzylic position to the histidine being able to act as catalytic base (His$^{502}$ or His$^{546}$) and the flavin N-5 respectively, along 5 ns MD simulations (snapshots saved every 2 ps) considering two possible protonation states: (A) Hid$^{502}$/Hip$^{546}$, with His$^{502}$ only protonated at Nδ and His$^{546}$ doubly protonated; and (B) Hie$^{502}$/Hie$^{546}$, with both histidine residues being only protonated at Nε.