Characterization of three novel members of the Arabidopsis thaliana equilibrative nucleoside transporter (ENT) family
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Research on metabolism of nucleotides and their derivatives has gained increasing interest in the recent past. This includes de novo synthesis, analysis of salvage pathways, breakdown and transport of nucleotides, nucleosides and nucleobases. To perform a further step towards the analysis of nucleoside transport in Arabidopsis, we incubated leaf discs with various radioactively labelled nucleosides. Leaf cells imported labelled nucleosides and incorporated these compounds into RNA, but not into DNA. Furthermore, we report on the biochemical properties of three so far uncharacterized members of the Arabidopsis ENT (equilibrative nucleoside transporter) family (AtENT4, AtENT6 and AtENT7). After heterologous expression in yeast, all three proteins exhibited broad substrate specificity and transported the purine nucleosides adenine and guanine, as well as the pyrimidine nucleosides cytidine and uridine. The apparent \( K_m \) values were in the range 3–94 \( \mu M \), and transport was inhibited most strongly by deoxynucleosides, and to a smaller extent by nucleobases. Typical inhibitors of mammalian ENT proteins, such as dilazep and NBMPR (nitrobenzylmercaptopurine ribonucleoside, also known as nitrobenzylthioinosine) surprisingly exerted almost no effect on Arabidopsis ENT proteins. Transport mediated by the AtENT isoforms differed in pH-dependency, e.g. AtENT7 was not affected by changes in pH, AtENT3, 4 and 6 exhibited a less pronounced pH-dependency, and AtENT1 activity was clearly pH-dependent. Using a GFP (green fluorescent protein)-fusion protein transiently expressed in tobacco leaf protoplasts, a localization of AtENT6 in the plant plasma membrane has been revealed.
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INTRODUCTION

Nucleotides are building blocks of DNA and RNA, represent major energy carriers and are also core elements of cofactors such as NAD, NADP, \( S \)-adenosylmethionine or coenzyme A. During de novo nucleotide biosynthesis, nucleosides do not occur as intermediates, but these metabolites appear during nucleotide turnover. This nucleotide turnover is catalysed in so called ‘salvage pathways’ that are known to occur in animals and plants. Via salvage pathways, cells preserve the energy and carbon inherent in the breakdown products of DNA and RNA, namely nucleosides. De novo synthesis of purine nucleotides, for example, requires the hydrolysis of five nucleotides, whereas the reaction of adenosine kinase, phosphorylating adenosine to AMP, only requires one ATP [1]. In plants, the salvage pathway involved in adenylate recycling is the best studied, although enzymes for the recovery of other nucleosides also exist [1,2]. In contrast with enzymic reactions involved in nucleoside salvage in plants, the transport of corresponding nucleosides is still poorly characterized. In general, nucleoside transport proteins can be divided into CNT (concentrative nucleoside transporter) and ENT (equilibrative nucleoside transporter) types [3,4]. The CNT family exhibits 12–13 predicted transmembrane domains and catalyses either a \( \text{Na}^+ \)- or \( \text{H}^+ \)-energized co-transport of nucleosides against a concentration gradient. CNT proteins have been identified in a number of bacterial species and in eukaryotes such as Caenorhabditis elegans, Drosophila melanogaster and mammals [5], but not in plants.

Members of the ENT family of nucleoside transporters typically exhibit 11 predicted transmembrane domains and catalyse transport energized by an existing nucleoside concentration gradient. So far, more than 40 members of the ENT protein family have been identified in eukaryotic cells, and it is supposed that they are evolutionarily related to prokaryotic nucleoside transporters [6].

Some protozoan nucleoside transporters are structurally closely related to ENT proteins, but surprisingly catalyse a concentrative proton-coupled nucleoside co-transport [7,8]. In this respect, the first plant nucleoside transporter characterized on the molecular level, ENT1 from Arabidopsis thaliana, behaves similarly, since this protein catalyses a proton-coupled adenosine uptake [9]. The Arabidopsis genome harbours eight isoforms of ENT-type proteins in total, and so far only two isoforms, namely ENT1 and ENT3, have been characterized on both the molecular and functional levels [9,10]. The aims of the present study were to deepen our insight into nucleoside metabolism in Arabidopsis and to characterize some of the remaining ENT members. The observation that various disturbances in plant nucleoside metabolism induce dramatically negative effects on both development and metabolism [11,12] clearly emphasizes that we have to increase our knowledge on plant nucleoside metabolism, which includes the corresponding transport proteins.

EXPERIMENTAL

Uptake experiment with leaf discs

Arabidopsis thaliana, ecotype Columbia, was used throughout the present study. To measure the uptake of radiolabelled nucleosides into Arabidopsis leaves, discs (7 mm diameter) were cut from fully developed leaves. A total of 100 leaf discs were
incubated in 20 ml of 5 mM Mes/KOH (pH 5.5) supplemented with 5 μM of the indicated nucleoside (185 MBq/mmol; Moravek Biochemicals, CA, U.S.A.). Leaf discs were constantly agitated in Petri dishes. At the given time points, 500 μl of the incubation medium was withdrawn and counted for radioactivity. After 24 h, the incubation was stopped and the leaf discs were washed three times in ice-cold incubation buffer, dried and frozen in liquid nitrogen. To extract soluble components, RNA and DNA, leaf material was homogenized by grinding in liquid nitrogen and 100 mg aliquots were transferred into 1.5 ml reaction tubes. The subsequent extraction was essentially as given in Ashihara and Nobusawa [13].

**Strains and media**

Plasmids were propagated in Escherichia coli cells (XL1Blue; Stratagene, Heidelberg, Germany) grown in YT medium (0.8 % peptone, 0.5 % yeast extract and 0.25 % NaCl) with or without ampicillin (50 mg/l) and tetracycline (2.5 mg/l). Plasmids harbouring AtENT3, 4, 6, 7 or 8 genes were transformed into AFULL Saccharomyces cerevisiae yeast cells (W303; Mat α; ura3-1; his3-11; leu2-3_112; trpl ΔA2; ade2-1; can1-100). YBL042c (11,1902::kanMX4) obtained from EUROSCARF [European Saccharomyces cerevisiae Archive for Functional Analysis (Institut für Mikrobiologie, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität, Frankfurt am Main, Germany)] applying the method of Ito et al. [14]. Cells were grown on minimal medium containing 0.67 % yeast nitrogen base (Remel, distributed by CeraGene Bio-technologies). AtENT3, 4, 6, 7 and 8 were transformed with column-purified plasmid DNA (30 µg per 0.5 × 10^6 cells). After 18 h of incubation in the dark at room temperature (20 °C), protoplasts were checked for green fluorescence using the Zeiss laser-scanning system LSM510 (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany). GFP was excited at 488 nm, and emission was detected by a photomultiplier through a 505–530 nm band-pass filter using an Achromat 40 ×/0.75W objective. Vacuoles from fluorescing protoplasts were prepared as given in Frangne et al. [18] and checked for green fluorescence using a light microscope (Axioskop, Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) equipped with filter set 09.

**RESULTS**

**Uptake of 3H-labelled nucleosides into Arabidopsis leaf discs**

To take a further step to understand transport and metabolism of nucleosides in Arabidopsis we incubated leaf discs in medium containing tritiated adenosine, guanosine, uridine or cytidine respectively. All nucleosides tested were provided at a concentration of 5 μM, which is in the range of the apparent K_m values of nucleoside transporters analysed so far.

Within the first hours of incubation, a linear uptake of all four nucleosides tested could be observed, and initial rates were 2.2 nmol ·(g of fresh weight)^-1 · h^-1 (Figure 1A). Uptake of guanosine equilibrated after about 4 h, whereas uptake rates of the other three nucleosides remained constant.

At the end of the incubation, soluble components, RNA and DNA were extracted. Most of the radioactivity in leaf discs incubated with labelled adenosine was found in soluble components and the RNA fraction (40 % and 56 % respectively), whereas only 2 % was recovered in DNA (Figure 1B). The molecular nature of the residual radioactivity (less than 2 %) has not been identified (Figure 1B). Partitioning of radioactive label in samples supplemented with [3H]cytidine was similar to samples incubated with labelled adenosine (Figure 1B). [3H]Uridine was only present in the soluble fraction, whereas 82 % of the [3H]guanosine was found in the RNA fraction (Figure 1B).

**Nucleoside transport into yeast cells heterologously synthesizing AtENT3, 4, 6, 7 and 8**

The Arabidopsis genome harbour six genes encoding putative members of the ENT family. However, only two of these proteins,
namely AtENT1 and AtENT3, have been analysed so far at the functional level [9,10]. To characterize the biochemical properties of some of the remaining isoforms, we amplified full-length cDNAs of AtENT 3, 4, 6, 7 and 8 from first-strand cDNA, prepared from a mixture of root, leaf, stem, flower and silique tissues. The gene-specific primers used were designed according to information taken from the Arabidopsis Genome Initiative [15], and the full-length transporter cDNAs were subsequently cloned into the vector pYES2 and sequenced.

The sequence information obtained were identical with data published previously by others under accession numbers AF426400, AtENT3; AF426401, AtENT4; AF426402, AtENT6; AF426403, AtENT7 and AY187030, AtENT8 [10]. AtENT1 had previously been characterized by us [9], AtENT2 and 5 could not be amplified yet, which is possibly due to the low expression level of corresponding genes in the Arabidopsis tissues used to prepare the first-strand cDNA. AtENT3 had already been initially analysed [10], but was also included into this work here to enlarge the knowledge on the biochemical properties of this nucleoside transporter isoform. The transport properties of Arabidopsis ENT-type proteins have been characterized using the yeast mutant ΔFUI1 lacking the sole yeast plasma membrane-located nucleoside transporter FUI1 [19]. FUI1 is highly specific for uridine transport, and uridine is thought to represent a general permeant accepted by most nucleoside transporters analysed so far. Therefore use of the ΔFUI1 mutant should allow us to analyse the Arabidopsis ENT proteins that mediate uridine transport.

AtENT1 from Arabidopsis exhibits a broad substrate spectrum as revealed by competition studies [9]. To check whether AtENT3, 4, 6, 7 and 8 transporters are also able to transport purine and pyrimidine nucleosides, we performed growth studies in the presence of either adenosine (a purine nucleoside) or fluorouridine (a toxic pyrimidine nucleoside analogue). Figure 2 presents the growth pattern of WT (wild-type) (W303)-, ΔFU1-, or ΔFU1 cells expressing AtENT3, 4, 6, 7 and 8 on various media. Plate A contained complete YPD medium; plate B contained a synthetic minimal medium lacking uracil, but containing adenosine; plate C lacked uracil and adenine, but contained adenosine; and plate D lacked uracil, but contained toxic fluorouridine.

All cells tested were able to grow on a YPD medium (Figure 2A), whereas WT cells were not able to grow on medium lacking uracil (Figure 2B). With the exception of AtENT8, all other Arabidopsis ENT proteins, AtENT3, 4, 6, and 7, were able to complement the adh2 mutation on an adenosine-containing medium (Figure 2C), clearly indicating the competence for purine transport. AtENT8-expressing cells and the ΔFU1 mutant grew in the presence of the toxic compound fluorouridine (Figure 2D), indicating that AtENT3, 4, 6, and 7 additionally mediate pyrimidine transport.

Following these indirect uptake studies, we next analysed nucleoside transport in more detail. For a correct characterization of biochemical transport properties, it is required that apparent $K_m$ and $V_{max}$ values are taken within the linear phase of import. Therefore we first checked that nucleoside uptake catalysed by each of the heterologously synthesized Arabidopsis ENT proteins occurred linearly with time. Nucleoside uptake catalysed by all transporters tested was linear with time at saturating nucleoside concentrations for at least 5 min (results not shown).

Exemplified in Figures 3(A) and 3(B), the Michaelis–Menten kinetics of adenosine or uridine uptake catalysed by the AtENT6 transporter are shown. The deduced Hanes plot (inset of Figures 3A and 3B) indicates apparent $K_m$ values for adenosine.
Figure 3 Substrate-dependence of nucleoside uptake into yeast cells expressing AtENT6

(A) Uptake of [3H]-labelled adenosine. Cells were incubated for 2 min at the indicated substrate concentration. The affinity constant was calculated to be 3.0 µM on the basis of the Hanes analysis (inset). (B) Uptake of [3H]-uridine. Cells were incubated for 2 min at the indicated substrate concentration. The affinity constant was calculated to be 6.4 µM on the basis of the Hanes analysis (inset). Induction of protein expression and uptake measurements were performed as described in experimental procedures. Results are means ± S.E.M. for three experiments.

Table 1 summarizes the affinity constants of transporters AtENT1, 3, 4, 6 and 7. AtENT1 exhibited high affinity for adenosine, but much lower affinities for guanosine and cytidine, as indicated by IC₅₀ values (Table 1) [9]. AtENT3 exhibited slightly higher affinities for pyrimidine nucleosides (9.5 and 10.8 µM for uridine and cytidine respectively; Table 1) as compared with purine nucleosides (15.5 and 18 µM for adenosine and guanosine; Table 1). AtENT4 is characterized by the highest affinity for guanosine (apparent Kₘ, 7.3 µM); for all other nucleosides, AtENT4 exhibited the lowest apparent affinities of all ENT proteins analysed, ranging from 27.8 to 49.1 µM (Table 1). AtENT6 exhibited the highest affinities for adenosine and uridine (3.0 and 6.4 µM respectively) and lower affinities for guanosine and cytidine (11.5 and 21.2 µM respectively; Table 1). Finally, AtENT7 appeared to be more specific for purine nucleosides (Kₘ's of 9.8 µM for adenosine and 9.4 µM for guanosine; Table 1) than for pyrimidine nucleosides (Kₘ's of 40 µM for cytidine and 13.4 µM for uridine; Table 1).

To analyse whether structurally related compounds affect nucleoside transport, we performed competition experiments. For this, we quantified the uptake of [3H]-adenosine given at a concentration of 25 µM (AtENT4), 1.8 µM (AtENT6) or 5 µM (AtENT7), and yeast cells were incubated for 2 min. Analogous substrates were provided at 10 times the concentration of adenosine, simultaneously with the [3H]-labelled adenosine. Induction of protein expression and uptake measurements were performed as described in the Experimental section. Results are means ± S.E.M. for three independent experiments.

Adenosine was given at a concentration of 25 µM (AtENT4), 1.8 µM (AtENT6) or 5 µM (AtENT7), and yeast cells were incubated for 2 min. Analogous substrates were provided at 10 times the concentration of adenosine, simultaneously with the [3H]-labelled adenosine. Induction of protein expression and uptake measurements were performed as described in the Experimental section. Results are means ± S.E.M. for three independent experiments.

Typical inhibitors of mammalian ENT proteins such as dilazep and NBMPR (nitrobenzylmercaptopurine ribonucleoside, also
known as nitrobenzylthioinosine) were tested on AtENT4, 6 and 7 catalysed transport. Only extraordinarily high concentrations of dilazep (20 μM) resulted in a substantial inhibition of AtENT6 activity (17.9 % residual activity; Table 2). AtENT4 and 7 were inhibited to 30 % and 27 % of the control rate respectively (Table 2). NBMPR strongly impaired transport mediated by AtENT4 and 6, only when supplied at the high concentration of 20 μM (17.7 % and 14.5 % residual activity respectively), whereas AtENT7 was less affected by NBMPR (Table 2).

The protonophore CCCP (carbonyl cyanide m-chlorophenyl- 

hydrazone) was used to assess the question of whether or not nucleoside transport catalysed by ENT proteins is dependent upon an existing proton gradient. Adenosine import catalysed by AtENT4 was reduced to 52 %, AtENT6 activity to 32 % and AtENT7 activity only to 76 % (Table 2).

To address the pH-dependency in detail, we quantified nucleo-

side transport into yeast cells at different pH values. Figure 5 clearly reveals that AtENT1-dependent nucleoside import responds strongly to changing proton concentrations in the medium, exhibiting maximal transport rates at pH 6.5 and only 10 % residual activity at pH 8. In contrast with this, AtENT7 activity is not influenced by the pH of the incubation medium (Figure 5). AtENT3 activity was much less inhibited by alkalization of the medium when compared with AtENT1 (Figure 5). The same holds true for AtENT4 and 6, which also exhibited a broad pH optimum (Figure 5).

Subcellular localization of AtENT6

Recently it has been shown that AtENT1 and AtENT3 reside in the plant plasma membrane [10,20]. However, this does not mean that other AtENT transporters also reside in this membrane. To analyse the subcellular localization of AtENT6, we prepared an AtENT6–GFP fusion construct and transiently transformed tobacco protoplasts. As controls GFP alone, GFP-fusion constructs carrying a plasma-membrane-localized sucrose transporter from tomato (LeSUT–GFP) or a vacuolar dicarboxic acid transporter from Arabidopsis (AttDT–GFP, [21]) have been used. Figure 6 revealed cytosolically located fluorescence when GFP alone is expressed (Figure 6A). In contrast, fluorescence surrounding the protoplast appeared when LeSUT–GFP or AtENT6–GFP was expressed (Figures 6B and 6C), indicating a plasma membrane localization of AtENT6. The reported plasma membrane localization of AtENT1 was also confirmed using a corresponding GFP-fusion construct (Figure 6D).

For a better differentiation between plasma membrane and tonoplast localization, we prepared vacuoles from protoplasts expressing GFP alone, LeSUT–GFP, AtENT6–GFP and AttDT–GFP. Vacuoles prepared from protoplasts expressing AttDT–GFP [viewed with a DAPI (4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) fluorescence filter set] exhibit light-blue fluorescence (Figure 6E), which is similar to vacuoles prepared from AtENT6–GFP-expressing cells (Figure 6F). However, only vacuoles from protoplasts expressing AttDT–GFP exhibited green fluorescence (Figure 6G), but not vacuoles from protoplasts expressing AtENT6–GFP (Figure 6H) or LeSUT–GFP (results not shown).

DISCUSSION

Although there has been increasing interest in metabolism of nu-

cleotides and their derivatives in higher plants [1,2], still many of the processes are poorly understood. Until now, nucleoside

**Table 2 Effect of vasodilator drugs and CCCP on transport of adenosine by AtENT4, 6 and 7**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inhibitor</th>
<th>AtENT4 (residual activity, %)</th>
<th>AtENT6 (residual activity, %)</th>
<th>AtENT7 (residual activity, %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dilazep (20 nM)</td>
<td>71.5 ± 17.0</td>
<td>76.3 ± 3.8</td>
<td>81.7 ± 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilazep (20 μM)</td>
<td>30.0 ± 12.0</td>
<td>17.9 ± 1.1</td>
<td>27.5 ± 5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBMPR (20 nM)</td>
<td>79.3 ± 7.0</td>
<td>86.7 ± 6.6</td>
<td>84.0 ± 4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBMPR (20 μM)</td>
<td>17.7 ± 9.0</td>
<td>145.1 ± 10.0</td>
<td>62.3 ± 3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCCP (50 μM)</td>
<td>52.0 ± 17.0</td>
<td>315.2 ± 25.4</td>
<td>76.0 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adenosine was provided at a concentration of 25 μM (AtENT4), 1.8 μM (AtENT6) and 5 μM (AtENT7) and yeast cells were incubated for 2 min together with the indicated effectors. Results are means ± S.E.M. for three independent experiments.

Figure 5 pH-dependency of nucleoside uptake into yeast cells

Influence of the pH of the incubation medium on the uptake of [3H]adenosine, mediated by AtENT1, 3, 4, 6 and 7. After induction, yeast cells were harvested, washed and resuspended in 5 mM NaPO4 (pH 6.0) and put on ice until uptake was initiated. The incubation medium consisted of 100 mM NaPO4 at various pH values. [3H]Adenosine was provided at a concentration of 2 μM and cells were incubated for 2 min. Results are means ± S.E.M.
transport in higher plants has only been demonstrated for a limited number of tissues, e.g. germinating petunia (Petunia hybrida) pollen [22,23], cotyledons of germinating castor bean endosperm [24] and discs of potato tubers [25].

We therefore performed an initial analysis of nucleoside transport in Arabidopsis, since, to our knowledge, this has not been done before. Arabidopsis leaf cells clearly exhibit the capacity to import purine as well as pyrimidine nucleosides at rates of about 2.2 nmol - (g of fresh weight)⁻¹ - h⁻¹ (Figure 1A). Besides a soluble fraction, approx. 40% of the imported nucleosides appear to be incorporated into RNA, whereas conversion into DNA was negligible (Figure 1B). This indicates that nucleosides are preferentially used in anabolic reactions rather than being degraded. Incorporation of significant amounts of exogenously supplied nucleosides into RNA has also been described for cultured white spruce (Picea glauca) cells [26,27] and for potato discs [25]. Therefore, in addition to our data, we have to assume that the capacity to import nucleosides is a phenomenon widely present in plant tissues.

From eight ENT-type nucleoside transporters present in Arabidopsis, seven have been analysed for their tissue specificity by RT (reverse transcriptase)-PCR and all of those were found to be present in leaf tissues [10]. The biochemical characterization of AtENT1 revealed that this transporter is highly specific for adenosine, but also transports guanosine and cytidine [9] (results not shown), and AtENT3 exhibits an even broader substrate specificity ([10]; and Table 1). AtENT1 and AtENT3 are supposed to reside in the plasma membrane ([10,20]; and Figure 6), a localization that has now also been shown for AtENT6 (Figure 6). As AtENT1, 3 and 6 are also able to transport a range of nucleosides ([10]; and Table 1), we have to assume that plant cells use a number of nucleoside transporters simultaneously to import these metabolites. This nucleoside import may function in the salvage of nucleosides from the apoplast. The assumption of a highly active salvage pathway in leaves is consistent with low levels of nucleosides reported for this tissue [28].

The enzymes necessary for the conversion of nucleosides into ribonucleotides the direct precursors for RNA synthesis are clearly present in plant cells, although only few corresponding genes have been identified so far [1,26,27]. The low incorporation of nucleosides into DNA (Figure 1B) is most probably not due to restrictions in the enzyme activity necessary for the conversion of nucleosides in this tissue, but is most likely a result of the low cell division rate in mature leaves.

In Arabidopsis, the members of the AtENT family, comprising eight isoforms in total, catalyse the transport of nucleosides and two of them (AtENT1 and AtENT3) have already been analysed at the molecular and biochemical level [9,10]. In the present paper, we also report on the biochemical properties of AtENT4, 6 and 7, but not on AtENT2 and 5. The latter two transporters could not be amplified from cDNA at full length, possibly due to low expression, as shown for AtENT2 [10], or tightly regulated, cell-type-specific expression.

Initial growth analysis of yeast cells expressing AtENTs indicated that AtENT3, 4, 6 and 7 transport both purine and pyrimidine nucleosides, but AtENT8 does not exhibit any transport competence (Figure 2). The lack of transport activity of AtENT8 was confirmed by direct transport measurements on yeast cells (results not shown). Whether this lack of transport is due to incorrect or missing integration into the yeast plasma membrane or whether AtENT8 transports substrates other than nucleosides will be elucidated in forthcoming experiments.

Direct transport studies of the three novel members of the AtENT family (AtENT4, 6 and 7), as well as an extended analysis of AtENT3, showed that all of these four ENTs transport adenosine, guanosine, cytidine and uridine with high affinities. The apparent affinity of adenosine and uridine uptake mediated by AtENT3 had been analysed before, and was determined to be slightly higher [10]. This is probably due to the different yeast strains used.

Competition experiments revealed that adenosine uptake by AtENT4, 6 and 7 is inhibited by deoxynucleosides, inosine and only to a smaller extent by nucleobases (Figure 4). Nucleotides do not exert strong inhibitory effects on adenosine uptake by any of the three proteins. Uptake studies using ³²P-labelled ATP and ADP confirmed that these metabolites are not substrates for AtENT4 and 7 (results not shown). These results indicate that other metabolites than nucleosides may also be substrates for plant nucleoside transporters. In this respect, the transport properties of AtENT6 and 7 resemble the biochemical features of AtENT1 [9]. It seems remarkable that transport facilitated by AtENT3 is also inhibited by the bases cytosine and uracil and moreover by the nucleotides ADP and ATP [10], indicating an extended substrate spectrum of this transporter.
Now that five of eight AtENTs have been analysed at the functional level, a high affinity and broad substrate specificity seem to be main features of plant nucleoside transport. This is in good agreement with our findings on Arabidopsis leaves (Figure 1), and also with import capacities of cotyledons from germinating castor beans, potato tuber discs, cultured cells of white spruce (Picea glauca) and petunia (Petunia hybrida) pollen [1,23–26]. All of these tissues are competent for uptake of multiple nucleosides and related metabolites. In this respect, plant ENT-type transporters resemble characteristics known for mammalian ENT transporters. All mammalian ENT proteins analysed so far showed a wide substrate spectrum, clearly different from the narrow substrate spectrum of most CNT proteins [7,29].

To explain the differences in substrate specificity and affinity between individual AtENTs, additional physiological studies on, for instance, knockout or RNAi (RNA interference) mutants are necessary.

The low sensitivity of AtENT4, 6 and 7 towards dilazep and NBMPR represents a similarity to AtENT1 and 3 investigated before [9,10]. Molecular studies on mammalian ENTs revealed that conserved amino acid residues are important for sensitivity against vasodilator drugs [7,30]. Comparing the protein sequences of AtENTs with those of the human homologue hENT1, Li et al. [10] identified two amino acid exchanges (position 154 of hENT1, Gly → Asp, and position 33 of hENT1, Met → Ile/Leu) in conserved domains of all AtENTs. With the evidence that AtENT4, 6 and 7 are also resistant against dilazep and NBMPR presented in the present work, these residues are most likely to be responsible for the resistance against vasodilator drugs of all five AtENTs tested so far.

Recently, a first cytokinin transporter, AtPUP1 was identified [31] belonging to the Arabidopsis family of purine transporters that is, most interestingly, able to transport adenosine in addition. Therefore we speculated that some AtENTs might function as cytokinin transporters, but no indication for transport of kinetin, zeatin or zeatin riboside was found in competition studies (results not shown).

Nucleoside transport mediated by all AtENTs tested so far is inhibited by protonophores, but to a quite different extent [9,10]; and Table 2]. Therefore we analysed the pH-dependency in more detail. The less pronounced response of adenosine transport to the protonophore CCCP by AtENT3, 4, 6 and 7 in comparison with AtENT1 is reflected by a lower dependency towards pH changes of the incubation medium (Figure 5).

The observation that AtENT1, 3, and 6 reach maximal transport rates at pH 6.5 and reduced rates at pH 5.5 might reflect a regulatory mechanism of transport activity in cells that are subjected to drastic pH changes, e.g. companion cells. However, for a physiological interpretation of this pH effect, the exact localization of the corresponding proteins in specific cell types has to be analysed with mutants expressing promoter–reporter gene fusions.

AtENT7 exhibits no pH-dependency and may transport nucleosides against a pH gradient, e.g. from the cytosol into the apoplastic space, thus functioning as an exporter. The observation that Arabidopsis possesses eight members of the ENT family, but no homologue with CNT-type transporters can now be explained, because both functions are inherent in AtENT members.

From our transient expression studies using an AtENT6–GFP fusion construct, we obtained good evidence for a plasma membrane localization (Figure 6), as already demonstrated for AtENT1 and 3. To obtain final evidence that the plasma membrane is the physiological destination, independent experiments using specific antisera will be needed. Whether or not the remaining five AtENT family members share the plasma membrane localization must be questioned. Sequence analysis of AtENT2 and AtENT5 reported by the ARAMEMNON database (http://aramemnon.botanik.uni-koeln.de/index.html) using the algorithm of the iPSort software [32] indicates a possible plastidic or mitochondrial localization respectively. Plant vacuoles, in addition, serve as a compartment to store carbohydrates, dicarboxylic acids and a wide range of products of the cellular detoxification system. Degrading enzyme activities as acid hydrolases and proteases are also present in the vacuole. In purified tomato vacuoles, RNase activity as well as RNA oligonucleotides and uridine were detected [33,34]. This nucleolytic activity of the vacuole requires the presence of a transport mechanism for the export of nucleic acid breakdown products such as nucleosides. Interestingly, yeast cells possess an internal nucleoside transporter, FUN26 [19], located in the ‘post-Golgi’ compartment that is related to the vacuole. Furthermore, the human transporter hENT3 is also supposed to reside predominantly in an intracellular compartment [35], strengthening the position that AtENTs may well be present in intracellular compartments.

ATP export from Arabidopsis leaves has been reported [36], and it is thought that this ATP export is mediated by ABC (ATP-binding cassette) transporters involved in establishing resistance to xenobiotics. Furthermore, this extracellular ATP may act as a signalling agent [37]. In any case, re-import of adenosine into the cell is necessary to avoid accumulation of ATP as a result of arising concentrations of breakdown products in the extracellular space. AtENT1 is, in contrast with the other AtENTs, highly specific for adenosine, moreover it shows a strict proton-dependency and is present in all tissues. Possibly, AtENT1 is involved in one of the systems described above.

AtENT3 and AtENT6, both also residing in the plasma membrane, exhibit broader substrate specificity and are most probably involved in import of nucleosides resulting from nucleotide breakdown of damaged or dead cells. Senescence and pathogen attack are also events leading to the liberation of nucleosides due to nucleolytic breakdown of RNA and DNA and to re-import of these products into neighbouring cells.

If these assumptions hold true and if other physiological roles for nucleoside transporters can be envisaged, then this has to be analysed in future work.
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