Catalytic and structural contributions for glutathione-binding residues in a Delta class glutathione S-transferase
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Glutathione S-transferases (GSTs) are dimeric proteins that play a major role in cellular detoxification. The GSTs in mosquito Anopheles dirus species B, an important malaria vector in South East Asia, are of interest because they can play an important role in insecticide resistance. In the present study, we characterized the Anopheles dirus (Ad)GST D3-3 which is an alternatively spliced product of the adgst1AS1 gene. The data from the crystal structure of GST D3-3 shows that Ile-52, Glu-64, Ser-65, Arg-66 and Met-101 interact directly with glutathione. To study the active-site function of these residues, alanine substitution site-directed mutagenesis was performed resulting in five mutants: I52A (Ile-52 → Ala), E64A, S65A, R66A and M101A. Interestingly, the E64A mutant was expressed in Escherichia coli in inclusion bodies, suggesting that this residue is involved with the tertiary structure or folding property of this enzyme. However, the I52A, S65A, R66A and M101A mutants were purified by glutathione affinity chromatography and the enzyme activity characterized. On the basis of steady-state kinetics, difference spectroscopy, unfolding and refolding studies, it was concluded that these residues: (1) contribute to the affinity of the GSH-binding site (‘G-site’) for GSH, (2) influence GSH thiol ionization, (3) participate in kcat regulation by affecting the rate-limiting step of the reaction, and in the case of Ile-52 and Arg-66, influenced structural integrity and/or folding of the enzyme. The structural perturbations from these mutants are probably transmitted to the hydrophobic-substrate-binding site (‘H-site’) through changes in active site topology or through effects on GSH orientation. Therefore these active site residues appear to contribute to various steps in the catalytic mechanism, as well as having an influence on the packing of the protein.
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INTRODUCTION

Glutathione S-transferases (GSTs, EC 2.5.1.18) are a widely distributed family of detoxifying dimeric enzymes found in most forms of life (e.g. vertebrates, plants, insects, yeasts and aerobic bacteria) [1,2]. GSTs catalyse the conjugation of hydrophobic substrates, such as drugs, herbicides and insecticides, with electrophilic centres to GSH [3,4]. The conjugation of GSH to such molecules increases their solubility and facilitates further metabolic processing [1,5–7]. In addition, these enzymes also carry out a range of other functions. They have peroxidase [5,8] and isomerase activity [9], they can inhibit the Jun N-terminal kinase (thus protecting cells against H2O2-induced cell death) [10], and appear to contribute to various steps in the catalytic mechanism, as well as having an influence on the packing of the protein.

All cytosolic GSTs have the same basic protein folding, which comprises two domains. The N-terminal domain (domain I) adopts a α/β topology and provides the GSH-binding site (G-site) [3,14]. It is currently believed that the residues which contribute to binding glutathione involve a network of specific polar interactions between GSH and G-site residues that are either conserved or conservatively replaced between classes. The C-terminal domain (domain II) is an all-helical structure and provides the structural element for recognition of the broad range of hydrophobic substrate–GSH conjugate [H-site (hydrophobic-substrate-binding site)], which lies adjacent to the G-site [3,14]. It shows the greatest variability across the GST classes [15–20] and helps to define the substrate selectivity of the enzyme [3,14,21].

The enzyme catalysis of nucleophilic aromatic substitution reactions can be divided into several steps, involving substrate binding, activation of GSH by promoting and stabilizing the anionic thiol group, nucleophilic attack by the anionic glutathione to the hydrophobic substrate possessing an electrophilic centre, product formation and finally product release [22–25]. The function of several active site residues have been elucidated: Ser-9 is involved in the production and stabilization of the ionized GSH; Tyr-113 is involved in the formation and release of the GSH conjugate of Lucilia cuprina GST [24]; Cys-47 acts as a molecular switch for different conformations of human (h)GST P1-1 [26]; His-40, Lys-41 and Gln-53 play roles in GSH binding and the structural integrity of Zea mays GSTI [27]. However, there is still a need to determine the contribution of other important active site amino acids.

The Anopheles dirus adgst1AS1 gene is spliced to yield 4 different isoforms; D1, D2, D3 and D4 [28,29]. The present study focuses on AdGST D3, because it has high expression levels in Escherichia coli, displays high enzymic activity and the crystal structure is available [28,30]. The aim of this work is to characterize the function of the glutathione-binding residues in adGST D3-3. Five residues in the G-site which are shown in the crystal structure to interact with the glutathione were investigated. The residues Ile-52, Glu-64 and Ser-65 are conserved among the GST classes, whereas Arg-66 and Met-101 are variable across the GST classes (Figure 1). These residue positions were substituted with alanine and characterized for both structural and catalytic roles. The results showed that these active site residues
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make contributions not only to enzyme activity, but also to physical properties.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

**Expression plasmid and site-directed mutagenesis**

The plasmid pET3a-adgstD3, as previously described [28], was used to generate the active site residue mutants via PCR-based site-directed mutagenesis. The selected residues Ile-52, Glu-64, Ser-65, Arg-66 and Met-101, which interact directly with GSH, were substituted with alanine by using the mutagenic primers that have been designed according to the 5′ and 3′ sequence of the AdGST D3 wild-type gene (GenBank® accession number AF273039). Each mutant was randomly screened by restriction digestion analysis. Mutant plasmids could be distinguished from the template by digestion with the restriction enzyme corresponding to the restriction recognition site introduced by the mutagenic primers. The full-length GST coding sequence of the plasmids carrying the I52A, E64A, S65A, R66A and M101A mutations were verified by the dideoxy-chain-termination method.

**Protein expression and purification**

*E. coli* cells, containing wild-type and mutant plasmid pET3a-adgstD3, were grown in Luria–Bertani medium containing 100 µg/ml ampicillin and 34 µg/ml chloramphenicol. The expression of GST was induced by the addition of 0.1 mM isopropyl 1-thio-β-galactopyranoside when $D_{600}$ was 0.5. Following induction for 3 h, cells were harvested by centrifugation at 5000 g at 4°C for 10 min. The soluble recombinant GST proteins were purified by GSTrap affinity chromatography (Amersham Pharmacia) or S-hexylglutathione agarose (Sigma) affinity chromatography in the case of low affinity towards the glutathione ligand [31]. Glycerol was then added to a final concentration of 50% (v/v) and the purified concentrated GSTs stored at −20°C. After purification, the enzymes were homogeneous, as determined by SDS/PAGE. The protein concentration was determined by the Bradford method using BSA as a standard [32].

**Kinetic studies**

Steady-state kinetics were studied for wild-type and mutant enzymes at various concentrations of CDNB (1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene) and GSH in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 6.5. The reaction was monitored at 340 nm, $\varepsilon = 9600$ M$^{-1}$·cm$^{-1}$. Apparent kinetic parameters, $k_{cat}$, $K_m$ and $k_{cat}/K_m$ were determined by fitting the collected data to a Michaelis–Menten equation by non-linear regression analysis using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad software, San Diego, CA, U.S.A.).

The pH dependence of $k_{cat}/K_m$ was obtained by using 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffers (from pH 5.0 to pH 5.5) and 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer (from pH 6.0 to pH 8.5).

---

**Figure 1** Amino acid alignments of several classes of GSTs

Gaps introduced to maximize sequence similarity are shown by a horizontal dash. Black shadings represent 100% sequence similarity and gray shadings represent 80% sequence similarity. Vertical arrows indicate equivalent position of Ile-52, Glu-64, Ser-65, Arg-66 and Met-101 in Delta class. (GenBank® accession numbers are AF273039, NP_611323.1, A37378, NP_000844.1, NP_665683.1, O43708, NP_000552.1 and AH004172.1 respectively).
The second order kinetic constants at pH 6.5 for the spontaneous reaction of GSH with CDNB and FDNB (1-fluoro-2,4-dinitrobenzene), and the catalytic-centre activities (‘turnover numbers’) at pH 6.5 for adGST D3-3 with CDNB and FDNB as co-substrates, were obtained as described previously [33].

The effect of viscosity on kinetic parameters was assayed by using 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer, pH 6.5, with various glycerol concentrations. Viscosity values (η) at 25 ºC were calculated as described previously [34].

The specific activities of the enzymes were determined using a spectrophotometer with five different substrates: CDNB, 1,2-dichloro-4-nitrobenzene, ethacrynic acid, p-nitrophenethyl bromide and p-nitrobenzyl chloride as described previously [35,36].

### Structural studies

One of the structural studies performed was to determine a half-life stability for the GST protein at 45 ºC. The wild-type and mutant enzymes were incubated at 45 ºC at a protein concentration of 1 mg/ml. The inactivation time courses were determined by taking suitable aliquots at the different time points for assay of remaining activity to calculate half-life of the enzyme [36].

Spectroscopic properties of the wild-type and mutant proteins were also studied. Intrinsic fluorescence emission spectra were measured at the excitation wavelength 295 nm, and the λmax and the fluorescence intensity of emission spectra were analysed at a protein concentration of 0.2 mg/ml [37].

A refolding experiment was also performed with the enzymes first being denatured in 4 M guanidinium chloride in renaturation buffer (0.2 M phosphate, 1 mM EDTA and 5 mM DTT, pH 7.0) at 25–27 ºC for 30 min and then rapidly diluted (defining time 0) 1:40 into renaturation buffer. Therefore the final guanidinium chloride concentration was 0.1 M during refolding. Recovered activity was monitored as a function of time by taking appropriate aliquots of the renaturation mixture and immediately assaying for activity. Refolding rate constants were determined by non-linear regression analysis using a single exponential equation [37].

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Protein expression and purification

Five residues, shown by crystal structure to directly interact with glutathione, were studied to characterize their roles in catalysis and structure (Figure 2). These residues, Ile-52, Glu-64, Ser-65, Arg-66 and Met-101 in adGSTD3-3, were individually replaced with alanine by oligonucleotide-directed mutagenesis. The wild-type and mutant enzymes were expressed as soluble forms, except for I52A and E64A, which were insoluble at 37 ºC. Decreasing the expression temperature to 25 ºC allowed the I52A mutant protein to be expressed in a soluble form. However, the GST with the E64A substitution was still expressed as an insoluble form, even at 18 ºC. Attempts at refolding the E64A protein were unsuccessful. This suggests that Glu-64 is a critical residue involved in the initial packing or folding of the protein to yield the final tertiary structure. The soluble GSTs were purified and gave a single band on SDS/PAGE. In each case, the unbound activity from the GSH affinity chromatography of the recombinant GSTs varied from 2–25 % of the total activity, displaying a reduction in binding to the chromatography media.

#### Steady-state kinetics

Steady-state kinetics were performed with various concentrations of glutathione and CDNB as substrates. The reactions followed Michaelis–Menten kinetics, and the kinetic parameters kcat and Km were determined by non-linear regression analysis (Table 1). All of the mutations increased Km values for glutathione, especially for I52A and R66A, which had values 24- and 19-fold greater than wild-type, demonstrating a decreased affinity toward glutathione. However, the mutations yielded only slight differences in the affinity of binding towards the substrate CDNB when compared with the wild-type enzyme.

The differences in kcat value in the nucleophilic aromatic substitution reaction with CDNB observed for I52A and R66A decreased approx. 2- and 10-fold respectively, whereas the

### Table 1 Steady-state kinetic parameters and pKa values for the thiol group of GSH of wild-type and mutants of adGSTD3-3 for the CDNB conjugation reaction at pH 6.5 and 25 ºC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>kcat (s–1)</th>
<th>KmGSH (mM)</th>
<th>KmCDNB (mM)</th>
<th>kcat/KmGSH (s–1/mM)</th>
<th>kcat/KmCDNB (s–1/mM)</th>
<th>pKa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild-type</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.01</td>
<td>130.69</td>
<td>245.95</td>
<td>6.36 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I52A</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>6.50 ± 0.61</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.03</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>43.72</td>
<td>6.78 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S65A</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>1.22 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.34 ± 0.06</td>
<td>24.50</td>
<td>87.18</td>
<td>6.69 ± 0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R66A</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>5.10 ± 0.40</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>14.59</td>
<td>7.23 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M101A</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.01</td>
<td>58.48</td>
<td>337.12</td>
<td>6.10 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The enzyme activities were measured at varying concentrations of CDNB and GSH in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 6.5. The pKa was obtained by using 0.1 M sodium acetate buffers (from pH 5.0 to pH 5.5) and 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer (from pH 6.0 to pH 8.5). The reaction was monitored at 340 nm, ε = 9600 M–1·cm–1.
The catalytic-centre activity for the M101A mutant is about 2 times greater than wild-type (Table 1). The question arose of whether the changes in k_{cat} value were due to changes in the activation of the GSH substrate bound in binary complex with the enzyme. The pH dependence of the kinetic parameters in the binary complex was determined to give the pK_{a} values in Table 1. The pK_{a} of the R66A mutant was about 1 pH unit higher than that found for the wild-type. This increased pK_{a} was also observed for a conserved hydroxy side-chain amino acid, Ser-9 in Delta class and tyrosine in Alpha, Mu and Pi classes, which play an important role in promoting and stabilizing anionic glutathione [3,23,24,38]. Several reports have shown that the glutamyl α-carboxylate of glutathione contributes to the ionization of the glutathione thiol group [39,40]. Arg-66 interacts directly with the glutamyl α-carboxylate of glutathione and appears to influence the ionization process of glutathione in Delta class GST. The involvement of positively charged residues in regulation of the electrostatic field also has been observed with other GSTs. For example, Arg-107 from hGST M2-2 [41] and Arg-15 hGST A1-1 [42] have been shown to contribute to active site ionization. For the other residues there are only slight differences in the pK_{a} of glutathione for the I52A, S65A and M101A GSTs, suggesting these residues may contribute to glutathione orientation to yield a suitable position for conjugation with the electrophilic substrate.

Determining the rate-limiting step in the adGST-D3-3-catalysed reaction

Normally, the reaction of nucleophilic aromatic substitution proceeds via a σ-complex intermediate. Substitution of the chlorine leaving group in the CDNB molecule by a more electronegative fluorine increases the second order rate constant of the spontaneous reaction with glutathione about 50-fold, indicating that the rate-limiting step is the σ-complex formation [24]. Therefore the effect on the catalytic-centre activity of the fluorine substitution for the chlorine in CDNB was examined. The results show that the k_{cat} does vary for the different GSTs (Table 2). This is most likely due to packing changes yielding a different architecture of the active site of the enzyme that responds differently to the smaller chlorine leaving group. However, this data indicates that the σ-complex formation is not the rate-limiting step. Therefore, the rate-limiting step in the enzymatic reaction appears to be a physical step, rather than a chemical step.

Next we examined the effect of viscosity on the kinetic parameters to determine the rate-limiting step of the catalytic reaction. A decrease of the rate constant by increasing the medium viscosity would reflect the influence of diffusion on catalysis [43]. It would indicate that the rate-limiting step of the reaction is related to the product release or the diffusion-controlled structural transition of the protein. A plot of the reciprocal of the relative catalytic constant (k_{cat}/k_{cat}) against the relative viscosity (η/η°) should be linear. The slope should be equal to unity when the product release or structural transition is limited by a strictly diffusional barrier. If the slope approaches zero the chemistry or another non-diffusion barrier is rate-limiting. As shown in Figure 3 the inverse relative rate constant for the enzyme-catalysed reaction shows a linear dependence on the relative viscosity with a slope very close to unity for the GST D3-3 wild-type (1.14 ± 0.01), similar to the I52A mutant GST (1.16 ± 0.05). Diffusion-controlled motions of the protein have been reported to modulate the catalysis of other GST isoenzymes: a conformational change in the case of ternary complex formation of hGST P1-1 [33] and product release for rat (r)GST M1-1 [43], L. cuprina GST [24], rGST T2-2 [44] and Alpha-class GSTs [45]. In contrast the mutants S65A (0.12 ± 0.09), R66A (−0.12 ± 0.01) and M101A (0.73 ± 0.08) exhibited k_{cat} values with different degrees of viscosity dependence compared with the wild-type (Figure 3). In particular, the slopes of for the S65A and R66A mutants are very close to zero, indicating that the mutation changed the rate-limiting step of the enzyme from a physical to a non-physical step.

Substrate specificity

Substrate specificity determination revealed differences in the specificity or the interaction of the enzymes with several hydrophobic substrates (Figure 4). This data shows that mutations of the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>k_{cat}^{CDNB} (s⁻¹)</th>
<th>k_{cat}^{CONB} (s⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild-type</td>
<td>85.1</td>
<td>35.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I52A</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S65A</td>
<td>157.3</td>
<td>29.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R66A</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M101A</td>
<td>265.9</td>
<td>63.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Effect of fluoride/chloride leaving group substitution on the rate of catalysis

The catalytic-centre activities of the conjugation reaction catalyzing by adGST D3-3 enzymes of GSH with CDNB and FDNB as co-substrates were calculated at pH 6.5.

Determining the rate-limiting step in the adGST-D3-3-catalysed reaction

Normally, the reaction of nucleophilic aromatic substitution proceeds via a σ-complex intermediate. Substitution of the chlorine leaving group in the CDNB molecule by a more electronegative fluorine increases the second order rate constant of the spontaneous reaction with glutathione about 50-fold, indicating that the rate-limiting step is the σ-complex formation [24]. Therefore the effect on the catalytic-centre activity of the fluorine substitution for the chlorine in CDNB was examined. The results show that the k_{cat} does vary for the different GSTs (Table 2). This is most likely due to packing changes yielding a different architecture of the active site of the enzyme that responds differently to the smaller chlorine leaving group. However, this data indicates that the σ-complex formation is not the rate-limiting step. Therefore, the rate-limiting step in the enzymatic reaction appears to be a physical step, rather than a chemical step.

Next we examined the effect of viscosity on the kinetic parameters to determine the rate-limiting step of the catalytic reaction. A decrease of the rate constant by increasing the medium viscosity would reflect the influence of diffusion on catalysis [43]. It would indicate that the rate-limiting step of the reaction is related to the product release or the diffusion-controlled structural transition of the protein. A plot of the reciprocal of the relative catalytic constant (k_{cat}/k_{cat}) against the relative viscosity (η/η°) should be linear. The slope should be equal to unity when the product release or structural transition is limited by a strictly diffusional barrier. If the slope approaches zero the chemistry or another non-diffusion barrier is rate-limiting. As shown in Figure 3 the inverse relative rate constant for the enzyme-catalysed reaction shows a linear dependence on the relative viscosity with a slope very close to unity for the GST D3-3 wild-type (1.14 ± 0.01), similar to the I52A mutant GST (1.16 ± 0.05). Diffusion-controlled motions of the protein have been reported to modulate the catalysis of other GST isoenzymes: a conformational change in the case of ternary complex formation of hGST P1-1 [33] and product release for rat (r)GST M1-1 [43], L. cuprina GST [24], rGST T2-2 [44] and Alpha-class GSTs [45]. In contrast the mutants S65A (0.12 ± 0.09), R66A (−0.12 ± 0.01) and M101A (0.73 ± 0.08) exhibited k_{cat} values with different degrees of viscosity dependence compared with the wild-type (Figure 3). In particular, the slopes of for the S65A and R66A mutants are very close to zero, indicating that the mutation changed the rate-limiting step of the enzyme from a physical to a non-physical step.

Substrate specificity

Substrate specificity determination revealed differences in the specificity or the interaction of the enzymes with several hydrophobic substrates (Figure 4). This data shows that mutations of the
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glutathione-binding residues changed the specificity toward various hydrophobic substrates. This suggests a rearrangement of the active site residues, resulting in changes in the topology of the active site pocket. Alternatively, the changes in the G-site residues may result in changes in orientation of glutathione within the active site which then affects the hydrophobic substrate binding. However, R66A showed a decrease in all substrate specificities, which is most likely due to the decreased contribution to GSH ionization, instead of the interaction with the hydrophobic substrates.

**Thermal stability**

The wild-type enzyme was subjected to a heat inactivation assay, and it was observed that the GST activity began to decrease at 45 °C [46]. This temperature was used to determine half-life stabilities for the recombinant enzymes. The half-life corresponds to the time of incubation when there is 50% remaining activity. The I52A mutant enzyme was shown to be less stable than wild-type by approx. 5-fold (Table 3). However, the replacement at Arg-66 increased the stability of the mutant enzyme by approx. 60-fold. These data indicated that the tryptophan residue is more exposed to the solvent, suggesting that the packing of the tertiary structure of the I52A protein is looser. Although the \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) of the R66A enzyme was the same as the wild-type, the intensity of the spectra was increased about 1.6-fold, suggesting that the mutation at this residue causes a rearrangement of the amino acids around the tryptophan that decreased the quenching.

**Refolding experiment**

The denaturant 4 M guanidinium chloride was sufficient to completely unfold the proteins, as shown by CD spectrum at 222 nm (results not shown). All of the data sets fitted to a single exponential equation for the refolding kinetics. In each case, 5–10 min of incubation in the refolding solution was sufficient to reach the maximum reactivation. In general the reactivation yields of the mutant enzymes were similar to the wild-type (Table 4). However, I52A mutant showed a reactivation velocity about 50-times greater than the wild-type GST, with recovery of about 95% of the activity. This suggests that Ile-52 plays a key role in the folding process.

One possibility may be the side chain of this residue points into the protein molecule and interacts with Leu-6 and Leu-33 to form a small hydrophobic core, as the small side chain of alanine may fit more easily than the side chain of isoleucine for an increased rate in its function in the nucleation of the initial protein folding [36].

**CONCLUSION**

The present study investigated the glutathione-binding residues of AdGST D3-3 by site-directed mutagenesis. The residues in the G-site appear to impact upon both chemical and physical properties of the enzyme. For example, Ile-52 can affect several aspects of both catalytic and structural properties of the GST. As discussed above the Ile-52 forms a small hydrophobic core with Leu-6 and Leu-33, which, when replaced with the small side chain residue alanine, affected the interaction of the main chain polypeptide between \( \beta \)-sheets 3 and 4, resulting in looser packing of \( \beta \)-sheet 4. Trp-63 is located in \( \beta \)-sheet 4 and the changes at this position have yielded a red shift in intrinsic fluorescence spectra and changes in the half-life and the refolding rate constant of the enzyme. Demonstrating the structural impact of this residue position, Ile-52 is also involved in the initial binding of the glutathione substrate.
as shown by the changes in substrate specificity, as well as changes
this residue is located at the subunit interface and interacts with
active site, as well as affecting the overall structure of the enzyme.
tryptophan may be moving away from the potential quenching
be a consequence of movements of the indole side chain. The
protein, in addition to a direct function in the catalytic mechanism.
activity that resulted from an influence on the packing of the pro-
associations in the folding mechanism and structural stabilization.
unle my class GST [48].
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