The ErbB2/Neu/HER2 receptor is a new calmodulin-binding protein
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We have demonstrated previously that the EGFR (epidermal growth factor receptor) is a calmodulin (CaM)-binding protein. To establish whether or not the related receptor ErbB2/Neu/HER2 also binds CaM, we used human breast adenocarcinoma SK-BR-3 cells, because these cells overexpress this receptor thus facilitating the detection of this interaction. In the present paper, we show that ErbB2 could be pulled-down using CaM–agarose beads in a Ca2+-dependent manner, as detected by Western blot analysis using an anti-ErbB2 antibody. ErbB2 was also isolated by Ca2+-dependent CaM-affinity chromatography. We also demonstrate using an overlay technique with biotinylated CaM that CaM binds directly to the immunoprecipitated ErbB2. The binding of biotinylated CaM to ErbB2 depends strictly on the presence of Ca2+, since it was prevented by the presence of EGTA. Moreover, the addition of an excess of free CaM prevents the binding of its biotinylated form, demonstrating that this was a specific process. We excluded any interference with the EGFR, as SK-BR-3 cells express considerably lower levels of this receptor, and no detectable EGFR signal was observed by Western blot analysis in the immunoprecipitated ErbB2 preparations used to perform the overlay assays with biotinylated CaM. We also demonstrate that treating living cells with W7 [N-(6-aminohexyl)-5-chloro-1-naphthalenesulphonamide], a cell-permeant CaM antagonist, down-regulates ErbB2 phosphorylation, and show that W7 does not interfere non-specifically with the activity of ErbB tyrosine kinases. We also show that W7 inhibits the phosphorylation (activation) of both ERK1/2 (extracellular-signal-regulated kinases 1 and 2) and Akt/PKB (protein kinase B), in accordance with the inhibition observed in ErbB2 phosphorylation. In contrast, W7 treatment increased the phosphorylation (activation) of CREB (cAMP-response-element-binding protein) and ATF1 (activating transcription factor-1), two Ca2+-sensitive transcription factors that operate downstream of these ErbB2 signalling pathways, most likely because of the absence of calcineurin activity. We conclude that ErbB2 is a new CaM-binding protein, and that CaM plays a role in the regulation of this receptor and its downstream signalling pathways in vivo.
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INTRODUCTION

The ErbB2/Neu receptor, also named HER2, belongs to the EGFR (epidermal growth factor receptor)/ErbB1/HER1 tyrosine kinase subfamily, also formed by two additional members, ErbB3/HER3 and ErbB4/HER4 receptors [1,2]. ErbB2 plays an important role in the development of certain human cancers, particularly because it is overexpressed in adenocarcinomas of mammary and ovarian origin, among others [3,4]. Ligand-induced heterodimerization of ErbB2 with other members of the EGFR family appears to be the underlying mechanism for its activation, as a specific physiological soluble ligand for ErbB2 has not yet been identified. Thus heregulins induce the heterodimerization of ErbB2 with either ErbB3 or ErbB4, while EGF (epidermal growth factor receptor)/ErbB1/HER1 tyrosine kinase/HER2 signalling pathways play a role in the regulation of this receptor and its downstream regulatory action on these receptors [7,11].

In this context, we have demonstrated previously that the EGFR is a CaM-binding protein [12–16]. Although CaM is known to inactivate the EGFR via phosphorylation mediated by CaM-dependent protein kinase II (CaMK-II) [17–19], we have demonstrated that CaM also exerts a direct inhibitory action on the tyrosine kinase activity of the EGFR without the participation of this serine/threonine kinase [12,13]. It was also demonstrated that CaM binds directly to the EGFR in vitro [12,13,15] and in living cells [16], and that the CaM-binding domain (CaM-BD) of this receptor is located in its cytosolic juxtamembrane region [14,16,20]. Moreover, a reciprocal competitive interplay between CaM binding at this site and phosphorylation by protein kinase C of Thr654, also located in this segment, was demonstrated [14].

The CaM-BD of the EGFR is highly conserved among mammalian species, and presents high homology with similar regions generated by EGFR homodimers and EGFR–ErbB2 heterodimers present different patterns [9]. The calcium signal generated by ErbB receptors may have important functional implications, as the ubiquitous Ca2+-sensor calmodulin (CaM) becomes activated [10], and the Ca2+-CaM complex has been proposed to exert a regulatory action on these receptors [7,11].
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in other ErbB receptors, including ErbB2 [11,14]. Therefore it is likely that CaM could interact with other members of the ErbB family. In the present paper, we demonstrate that ErbB2 is indeed a CaM-binding protein, and that CaM plays a role in the regulation of this receptor, and several ErbB2-initiated downstream signalling pathways, in intact cells.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

**Reagents**

Polyclonal anti-ErbB2 antibody (C-18) developed in rabbit against the C-terminus of the human receptor, mouse monoclonal anti-phospho-ERK (extracellular-signal-regulated kinase) antibody (E-4) against phosphorylated Tyr of human ERK1/2, rabbit polyclonal anti-Akt1 antibody (H-136) against a recombinant protein corresponding to amino acids 345–480 of human Akt1, rabbit polyclonal anti-phospho-CREB (cAMP-response-element-binding protein) 1 antibody (Ser-133) [recognizing phospho-Akt (Thr308) antibody and rabbit polyclonal anti-ERK1/2 antibody (G-7)] (against a peptide corresponding to human JNK1 containing phosphorylated Thr183 and Tyr185) and polyclonal rabbit anti-JNK1 antibody (C-17) (against a peptide mapping at the C-terminus of human JNK1) were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Monoclonal anti-EGFR antibody from clone 13 (recognizing the intracellular segment 996–1022 of the human receptor) developed in mouse, monoclonal anti-phosphotyrosine RC20 antibody conjugated to horseradish peroxidase and mouse monoclonal anti-NFAT1 antibody were obtained from BD Transduction Laboratories. Anti-phosphotyrosine 4G10 antibody was purchased from Upstate Biotechnology. Rabbit polyclonal anti-phospho-EGFR antibody from clone 13 (enhanced chemiluminescence) was a gift from Professor Nobuhiro Hayashi from Fujita Health University, Aichi, Japan. Other chemicals used in this work were a gift from Professor Nobuhiro Hayashi from Fujita Health University, Aichi, Japan. Other chemicals used in this work were from PeproTech EC (London, U.K.), and pre-stained molecular mass standards for electrophoresis were from Bio-Rad. EZ-linkTM NHS-LC-biotin and streptavidin conjugated to horseradish peroxidase were from Pierce. BioTraceTM PVDF membranes were purchased from Pall Gelman Laboratory (Mississauga, Ontario, Canada), and OptiPhase HiSafe 2 scintillation fluid was from Wallac. The ECL® (enhanced chemiluminescence) assay kit, [methyl-3H]thymidine (46 Ci/mmol) and [γ-32P]ATP (triethylammonium salt) (3000 Ci/mmol) were from Amersham Biosciences. *Escherichia coli* cultures expressing recombinant rat CaM [21] were a gift from Professor Nobuhiro Hayashi from Fujita Health University, Aichi, Japan. Other chemicals used in this work were of analytical grade.

**Cell cultures**

Human breast adenocarcinoma SK-BR-3 cells (A.T.C.C., Manassas, VA, U.S.A.) and human epidermoid carcinoma A431 cells were grown in DMEM (Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium) supplemented with 10% (v/v) FBS (foetal bovine serum), 2 mM l-glutamine and 40 μg/ml gentamicin in a humidified atmosphere of 5% (v/v) CO₂ in air at 37°C. The cells were maintained overnight in a FBS-free medium before performing the experiments.

[methyl-3H]Thymidine incorporation

Incorporation of [methyl-3H]thymidine into DNA was carried out in confluent cell cultures essentially as described in [22]. Cells grown to confluence in 24-well culture dishes and deprived of FBS overnight were washed twice with 130 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl and 11.5 mM sodium/potassium phosphate (pH 7.4) (PBS) and incubated for 14–16 h in 0.5 ml of DMEM supplemented with 1.2 μM (2 μCi/ml) [methyl-3H]thymidine in the absence or presence of 10% (v/v) FBS and concentrations of EGF or HRGβ1 as indicated in the legends of the Figures. Thereafter, cells were treated with ice-cold 10% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid for 10 min, solubilized with 0.2 M NaOH for 24 h, and neutralized with 0.2 M HCl. The radioactivity incorporated into the acid-insoluble material was measured using a scintillation counter.

**Purification of recombinant CaM and preparation of biotinylated CaM**

Recombinant rat CaM was purified from *E. coli* essentially as described in [21], except that the soluble cell extract was heated at 95°C for 5 min before the heat-resistant proteins of the supernatant were subjected to phenyl-Sepharose chromatography. The concentration of CaM was determined spectrophotometrically at 276 nm using a molar absorption coefficient of 3740 M⁻¹·cm⁻¹ [23]. Purified CaM was biotinylated using EZ-link™ NHS-LC-biotin as described in [24].

**ErbB2 immunoprecipitation**

Serum-starved confluent SK-BR-3 cells grown in dishes 15 cm in diameter were incubated in the absence and presence of 10 nM EGF or 100 ng/ml HRGβ1 for 2–5 min at room temperature (20–22°C). Thereafter, the medium was removed and 1 ml of a medium containing 50 mM Tris/HCl (pH 8), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EGTA, 1% (w/v) Triton X-100, 0.5% (w/v) deoxycholic acid, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 1 mM PMSF, 10 μg/ml leupeptin, 10 μg/ml pepstatin A and 10 μg/ml aprotinin (lysis buffer) was added and incubation was continued for 30 min on ice. The cell extract was collected by centrifugation at 15 600 g for 35 min, and 2–3 mg of solubilized proteins were incubated overnight with 4 μg of anti-ErbB2 antibody pre-coupled to 30 μl of a slurry of Protein A–agarose in 200 μl of a medium containing 50 mM Tris/HCl (pH 8), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 1 mM PMSF (TNOP buffer). The beads were collected by centrifugation at 3600 g for 40 s and washed six times in TNOP buffer. The samples were boiled for 5 min in Laemmli sample buffer, and the supernatant was processed by SDS/PAGE as described below.

**ErbB2 pull-down with CaM–agarose**

Serum-starved confluent SK-BR-3 cells were solubilized using the lysis buffer, the sample was centrifuged at 15 600 g for 1 h at 4°C, and 1 mM CaCl₂ was added. The sample (1 ml) was incubated with a slurry of 30 μl of CaM–agarose equilibrated in a...
buffer containing 20 mM Hepes/NaOH (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 0.2 mM CaCl₂, and 1 mM PMSF (Ca²⁺ buffer). Controls in the presence of 1 mM EGTA instead of CaCl₂ were also performed (EGTA buffer). The CaM–agarose beads were washed six times with the Ca²⁺ buffer or with the EGTA buffer, as required, collecting the beads by centrifugation at 3600 g for 40 s. The material bound to the beads was separated by boiling for 5 min in Laemmli sample buffer, the beads were removed by centrifugation at 3600 g for 40 s, and the supernatant was subjected to SDS/PAGE and Western blot analysis as described below using an anti-ErbB2 antibody.

**Isolation of ErbB2 by Ca²⁺-dependent CaM-affinity chromatography**

A Triton X-100-solubilized cell extract from SK-BR-3 cells was processed through a 3 ml CaM-agarose column equilibrated with a buffer containing 25 mM Hepes/NaOH (pH 7.5), 135 mM NaCl and 0.2 mM CaCl₂ (Ca²⁺ buffer). After an extensive wash (100 ml) with the Ca²⁺ buffer, CaM-binding proteins were eluted (1 ml fractions) with a similar buffer containing 2 mM EGTA instead of CaCl₂ (EGTA buffer). Proteins in pooled fractions (10 ml) were precipitated with 10% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid, and subjected to SDS/PAGE and Western blot analysis as described below using an anti-ErbB2 antibody.

**CaM overlay experiments**

The whole cell extract or the immunoprecipitated ErbB2 receptor from SK-BR-3 cells from non-stimulated cultures, or from cultures treated with 10 nM EGF for 1 min, were prepared as described above, and subjected to SDS/PAGE as described below. The proteins were electrotransferred on to a PVDF membrane using a medium containing 48 mM Tris-base, 36.6 mM glycine, 20% (v/v) methanol and 0.04% (w/v) SDS (TGS medium), and fixed with 0.2% (v/v) glutaraldehyde for 45 min in a medium containing 0.1% (v/v) Tween 20, 100 mM Tris/HCl (pH 8.8), 500 mM NaCl and 0.25 mM KCl (T-TBS medium). The PVDF membrane was blocked with 5% (w/v) BSA in T-TBS medium, and incubated with 0.45 μg/ml biotinylated CaM in the presence of 0.2 mM CaCl₂ for 30 min at 37 °C, or overnight at 4 °C. Controls containing 1 mM EGTA instead of CaCl₂ were also performed. After extensively washing the PVDF membrane in T-TBS medium, streptavidin conjugated to horseradish peroxidase at a 1/3000 dilution was added and incubated for 30 min at 37 °C or for 1 h at room temperature. The positive bands were developed using the ECL® method, following the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Western blot analysis**

Serum-starved confluent SK-BR-3 or A431 cells grown in dishes 15 cm in diameter were incubated in the absence and presence of 10 nM EGF or 100 ng/ml HRG/β1 for 1 min at room temperature, and the whole cell extracts were processed by SDS/PAGE as indicated below. Proteins in the gel were electrotransferred on to a PVDF membrane in TGS medium, and the proteins were fixed with 0.2% (v/v) glutaraldehyde for 45 min in T-TBS medium. The PVDF membrane was blocked with 5% (w/v) BSA or 10% (w/v) fat-free dried milk in T-TBS medium, and probed with the RC20 anti-phosphotyrosine antibody conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (1/2000 dilution), or alternatively with the 4G10 anti-phosphotyrosine antibody (1/2000 dilution), and an appropriate secondary antibody coupled to horseradish peroxidase (1/3000 dilution). The PVDF membrane was reprobed with the anti-EGFR antibody (1/2500 dilution) or the anti-ErbB2 antibody (1/1000 dilution) after stripping the membrane in a medium containing 100 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 2% (w/v) SDS and 62.5 mM Tris/HCl (pH 6.7) at 50 °C for 30 min, or after overnight incubation at room temperature. The activation status of different signalling pathways in SK-BR-3 cells incubated in the absence and presence of 10 nM HRG/β1 was determined in whole cell extracts by probing the PVDF membranes with the following antibodies (1/1000 dilution): anti-phospho-ERK, anti-phospho-Akt/PKB (protein kinase B), anti-(phospho-p38 MAPK), anti-phospho-JNK and anti-phospho-CREB/phospho-ATF1. Appropriate secondary antibodies coupled to horseradish peroxidase at a 1/3000 dilution were used as required. The positive bands were developed using the ECL® method following the manufacturer’s instructions. Adequate loading was ascertained by Fast Green FCF protein staining of the PVDF membranes, or, alternatively, by stripping and reprobing the membranes with any of the following antibodies (1/1000 dilution): anti-ErbB2, anti-α-tubulin, anti-ERK, anti-Akt/PKB, anti-(p38 MAPK), anti-JNK and anti-CREB.

**Preparation of solubilized membrane fractions**

Cells were washed twice with PBS and broken by six forced passages through a 25 G 5/8 needle in a buffer containing 50 mM Hepes/NaOH (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA, 2 mM sodium orthovanadate, and a cocktail of protease inhibitors (1 tablet for 10 ml) (buffer A). The sample was centrifuged at 600 g for 5 min, the pellet was discarded, the supernatant was resuspended in buffer A, and centrifuged at 160 000 g for 1 h at 4 °C. The new pellet was collected and solubilized in buffer A containing 1% (w/v) Triton X-100 on ice for 45 min, centrifuged again at 160 000 g for 1 h at 4 °C and the supernatant, denoted solubilized membrane fraction, was collected.

**Isolation of EGFR and tyrosine kinase assay**

In order to test possible non-specific interfering effects of W7 [N-(6-aminohexyl)-5-chloro-1-naphthalenesulphonamide] on ErbB receptor tyrosine kinase activity, rat liver EGFR was isolated by Ca²⁺-dependent CaM-affinity chromatography as described previously [12], and its auto(trans)phosphorylation and tyrosine kinase activity toward an exogenous substrate was assayed in the absence and presence of the CaM antagonist (15 μg/ml) using poly(L-Glu/L-Tyr), following described protocols [12].

**Other analytical procedures**

Slab gel electrophoreses were performed according to Laemmli [25] at 12 mA overnight in a 5–20% (w/v) linear gradient polyacrylamide gel in the presence of 0.1% (w/v) SDS at pH 8.3. Protein concentrations were determined using BCA (bicinchoninic acid; Sigma) and copper sulphate (BCA method) following the manufacturer’s instructions and using BSA as a standard. Densitometric measurement of the different bands in the X-ray films were performed by a computer-assisted densitometer (Epson Perfection 1200U) using the Scion Image Release Beta 4.0.2 software program. All densitometric values given in the present work are related to the anti-phosphotyrosine/anti-ErbB2 signal ratio to correct for possible deviation due to loading errors. Little, if any, loading error, however, was observed by staining proteins in the PVDF membranes with Fast Green FCF.

**RESULTS**

**ErbB2 activation in SK-BR-3 cells**

We have demonstrated previously that CaM interacts and regulates the EGFR in vitro [12–15] and in living cells [16]. Therefore it
was important to exclude the possibility that this receptor could interfere with the undertaken studies on the interaction between CaM and ErbB2, as both receptors have very close molecular masses (170 kDa for EGFR and 185 kDa for ErbB2). We chose SK-BR-3 cells for the present study, as this cell line is known to overexpress ErbB2 while expressing far lower levels of other ErB receptors, including the EGFR [26]. To confirm that this was the case in the clone of SK-BR-3 cells used, we performed Western blot analysis of whole cell extracts using anti-EGFR and anti-ErbB2 antibodies. Thus Figure 1(A) shows a weak EGFR signal on SK-BR-3 cells as compared with control A431 cells, which are known to overexpress this receptor [27]. In order to observe the weak EGFR signal in SK-BR-3 cells, the X-ray film was slightly overexposed. In contrast, a strong ErbB2 signal was detected on SK-BR-3 cells, while this signal was almost absent in A431 cells. This also demonstrates that the anti-ErbB2 antibody used in our experiments does not present cross-reactivity towards EGFR. To determine whether or not ErbB2 was able to undergo activation, we incubated SK-BR-3 cells in the absence and presence of HRGβ1 and performed Western blots using an anti-phosphotyrosine antibody. As shown in Figure 1(B), phosphorylation of tyrosine of ErbB2 was stimulated strongly upon addition of HRGβ1. The samples were reprobed with an anti-ErbB2 antibody to determine that they contain comparable amounts of receptor. Densitometric analysis showed that the mean ± S.E.M. of HRGβ1-induced stimulation of ErbB2 phosphorylation increased to 641 ± 179% (n = 3) as measured in whole cell extracts, and to 346 ± 84% (n = 4) as measured in cell membrane fraction preparations.

Figure 2 shows that ErbB2 can be activated by EGF, as observed by Western blot analysis using an anti-phosphotyrosine antibody. This was observed using both the whole cell extract and the immunoprecipitated ErbB2 from SK-BR-3 cells. The stimulation of tyrosine phosphorylation in ErbB2 induced by EGF was, however, less pronounced than the stimulation induced by HRGβ1, when the signals in the presence or absence of either of the two growth factors were compared (see Figure 1B and Figure 2, top panel). Densitometric analysis shows that the EGF-induced stimulation of ErbB2 phosphorylation increased to 179 ± 23% (n = 3) as measured in whole cell extracts, and to 128 ± 3% (n = 6) as measured using the immunoprecipitated receptor. As expected, ErbB2 was detected in both the whole cell extract and the immunoprecipitated material when probed with an anti-ErbB2 antibody (Figure 2, middle panel), while no EGFR signal was observed when samples where probed with an anti-EGFR antibody (Figure 2, bottom panel).

To characterize whether or not the activation of ErbB2 from SK-BR-3 cells generate a proliferative response upon addition of exogenous HRGβ1 or EGF, we measured the incorporation of [methyl-3H]thymidine into DNA under different experimental conditions. Figure 3(A) shows that SK-BR-3 cells incorporate [methyl-3H]thymidine with great efficiency in the absence of any added growth factor, and that the addition of 10 nM HRGβ1 or 10 nM EGF, in the absence or presence of 10% (v/v) FBS, does not stimulate DNA synthesis further. Moreover, increasing the concentrations of HRGβ1 or EGF also fails to enhance the incorporation of [methyl-3H]thymidine above its already high basal level, as observed in Figure 3(B).

ErbB2 binds CaM in a specific and Ca2+-dependent manner

To determined whether or not ErbB2 could be isolated by CaM-affinity binding, we performed pull-down experiments using CaM-agarose. Figure 4(A) shows that ErbB2 could be detected by Western blot analysis using an anti-ErbB2 antibody in the material bound to the CaM–agarose beads when the experiments were performed in the presence of CaCl2, but not in its absence (in the presence of EGTA). Interestingly, in most preparations, the presence of the CaM inhibitor W7 during the incubation procedure increased the binding of ErbB2 to the CaM–agarose
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Figure 3 Effect of EGF and HRGβ1 on SK-BR-3 cell proliferation

Incorporation of [methyl-3H]thymidine into DNA was performed as described in the Experimental section. (A) The experiments were performed in the presence of 10 nM EGF, 10 nM HRGβ1 and/or 10% (v/v) FBS as indicated. Results are means ± S.E.M. of eight determinations in two separate experiments. (B) The experiments were performed using the indicated concentrations of EGF (○) or HRGβ1 (△). Results are means ± S.E.M. of six determinations in two separate experiments. The 100% value corresponds to 70,000 c.p.m.

beads, most likely because the inactivation of endogenous CaM that binds and competes for ErbB2. Densitometric analysis shows that the binding of ErbB2 to CaM–agarose increased to 140 ± 36% (n = 4) in the presence of W7 as compared with controls in the absence of the CaM antagonist (results not shown). Furthermore, we isolated ErbB2 by Ca2+-dependent CaM-affinity chromatography. Thus Figure 4(B) shows the absence of ErbB2 in the CaM–agarose column effluent after an extensive wash with a buffer containing CaCl2, and the appearance of the receptor after elution with a buffer containing EGTA, as detected using an anti-ErbB2 antibody (Figure 4B, upper panel). A negative control using the secondary anti-IgG antibody alone is also presented (Figure 4B, lower panel). Overall, these experiments show that CaM binds to ErbB2 in a Ca2+-dependent manner.

The detection of ErbB2 in the CaM–agarose beads in the presence of Ca2+, and its elution with EGTA, does not exclude, however, the possibility that this receptor could be isolated because of its indirect association with other CaM-binding protein(s). In fact, many different CaM-binding proteins can be detected in SK-BR-3 cells after overlaying the electrophoretically separated proteins with biotinylated CaM as shown in Figure 5. Hence, we detected CaM-binding proteins in the whole extracts from SK-BR-3 cells in experiments performed in the presence of CaCl2 (Figure 5, right-hand panel). In its absence (Figure 5, middle panel), however, the only observed bands were also detected in a mock experiment carried out in the absence of biotinylated CaM after development with streptavidin–peroxidase (Figure 5, left-hand panel), showing its non-specific binding. Little change, if any, in the binding of biotinylated CaM to the different CaM-binding proteins was observed between cell extracts from non-stimulated cells and cells stimulated with EGF. Significantly, a positive broad band of high molecular mass (190–160 kDa), CaM-binding protein band. Representative results from two independent experiments are presented.

Figure 4 ErbB2 binds to CaM–agarose

(A) A solubilized whole cell extract (2.8 mg of protein) from non-stimulated SK-BR-3 cells was subjected to pull-down using CaM–agarose beads as described in the Experimental section in the presence (+) of 0.2 mM CaCl2 (Ca2+), or 1 mM EGTA as indicated. The material bound to the beads was subjected to SDS/PAGE and Western blot analysis using the anti-ErbB2 antibody (α-ErbB2). The arrow points to ErbB2. Representative results from two independent experiments are presented. (B) A solubilized whole cell extract (25 mg of protein) from non-stimulated SK-BR-3 cells was subjected to CaM-affinity chromatography as described in the Experimental section. Pooled fractions after Ca2+-wash and EGTA-elution were subjected to SDS/PAGE and Western blot analysis using an anti-ErbB2 antibody (α-ErbB2) (upper panel). A control using only the secondary antibody (α-IgG) is also presented (lower panel). The arrow points to ErbB2. Representative results from two separate experiments are presented.

Figure 5 Ca2+-dependent CaM-binding proteins in SK-BR-3 cells

A whole cell extract (100 µg of protein) from SK-BR-3 cells of a non-treated culture (−) or a culture treated (+) with 10 nM EGF for 1 min were subjected to SDS/PAGE, before electrotransfer of the proteins on to a PVDF membrane, and overlay with 0.45 µg/ml biotinylated CaM in the presence of 0.2 mM CaCl2 (Ca2+) and in its absence (EGTA) as described in the Experimental section. Samples were processed in the absence of biotinylated CaM (Control) to detect non-specific binding. The arrow indicates a broad high-molecular-mass (190–160 kDa) CaM-binding protein band. Representative results from two independent experiments are presented.
Representative results from two separate experiments are presented.

Moreover, a prominent 160 kDa significant change was observed when non-stimulated cells or cells stimulated with EGF were used. Therefore, show that CaM directly and specifically perfect match between the biotinylated CaM signal, and the ErbB2 p160. When the PVDF membrane was sequentially re-probed with free CaM also chases the binding of biotinylated CaM to

The immunoprecipitated ErbB2 from 2.6 mg of protein cell extract of SK-BR-3 cells of a non-stimulated culture (−) or a culture stimulated (+) with 10 nM EGF for 1 min, were subjected to overlay with 0.45 µg/ml biotinylated CaM in the presence of 0.2 mM CaCl2 (Ca2+) and 135 µg/ml free CaM as indicated, and described in the Experimental section. A control in the absence of CaCl2 and presence of 1 mM EGTA is also presented (EGTA). The PVDF membrane was sequentially stripped and reprobed with the anti-phosphotyrosine antibody (α-P-Tyr), and the anti-ErbB2 antibody (α-ErbB2). The arrows point to ErbB2, and the asterisk indicates p160. Representative results from two separate experiments are presented.

The region of migration of ErbB receptors, was detected (Figure 5, arrow).

To determine whether ErbB2 could be responsible at least in part for the high-molecular-mass signal observed in overlay experiments with biotinylated CaM using whole cell extracts, we repeated the experiment using immunoprecipitated ErbB2. Figure 6 shows that biotinylated CaM binds to a 185 kDa band in the immunoprecipitated material in the presence of CaCl2 (Figure 6, Ca2+ lanes), but not in its absence (Figure 6, EGTA lanes). Moreover, the addition of an excess of free CaM chases the binding of its biotinylated form to this 185 kDa protein. No significant change was observed when non-stimulated cells or cells stimulated with EGFR were used. Moreover, a prominent 160 kDa Ca2+-dependent CaM-binding protein (p160) co-immunoprecipitated with ErbB2 was detected (Figure 6, asterisk). An excess of free CaM also chases the binding of biotinylated CaM to p160. When the PVDF membrane was sequentially re-probed with anti-phosphotyrosine and anti-ErbB2 antibodies, we observed a perfect match between the biotinylated CaM signal, and the ErbB2 and tyrosine phosphorylation signals of the 185 kDa band. These experiments, therefore, show that CaM directly and specifically binds to ErbB2 in a Ca2+-dependent manner.

**The CaM antagonist W7 inhibits HRGβ1-induced ErbB2 phosphorylation in intact cells**

As mentioned above, the presence of the CaM antagonist W7 increases the binding of ErbB2 to CaM–agarose beads in vitro. We next decided to test whether or not this compound affects the activation of ErbB2 in intact cells. Figure 7(A) shows that the level of HRGβ1-induced phosphorylation of ErbB2 decreases in cells treated with W7 during 30 min before the addition of the ligand. The phosphorylation of the receptor was tested in isolated membrane fractions solubilized with Triton X-100 from HRGβ1-treated and untreated cells. Although the phosphorylation signal in the Western blot correlates perfectly with the ErbB2 signal, as detected using an anti-ErbB2 antibody, to ascertain further that the observed W7-induced decrease in phosphorylation took place in ErbB2 and not in any unrelated protein of similar molecular mass, we performed a similar experiment, but using the immunoprecipitated ErbB2 from HRGβ1-treated and untreated cells. Thus we observed again that W7 treatment strongly inhibits the HRGβ1-induced phosphorylation of ErbB2 (Figure 7B). In this instance, however, we also observed a significant W7-induced inhibition of the basal phosphorylation of ErbB2 in the absence of HRGβ1. Densitometric measurements show that treating living cells with 5 µg/ml W7 inhibited HRGβ1-dependent stimulation of ErbB2 phosphorylation to 81 ± 12% (n = 4) as measured in cell membrane fractions, and to 30 ± 8% (n = 2) as measured using immunoprecipitated ErbB2. In the absence of HRGβ1, W7 treatment inhibits ErbB2 phosphorylation to 16 ± 1.5% (n = 2) as measured using immunoprecipitated ErbB2. Furthermore, we observed that W7 treatment also inhibits HRGβ1-dependent tyrosine phosphorylation of four different phosphoproteins downstream of ErbB2, most likely protein substrates of its tyrosine kinase activity, denoted pp130, pp110, pp60 and pp51 (results not shown).

It has been reported that W7 at very high concentrations inhibits protein kinase C activity by two mechanisms: interacting with the phospholipid cofactor of the enzyme (IC50 = 260 µM), and acting as a competitive inhibitor of ATP (at even higher concentrations) [28]. Although the concentration of W7 used in our experiments with living cells was approx. 5–15-fold lower than the IC50 indicated above, we had to exclude nonetheless the possibility that W7 could be acting as a non-specific inhibitor of ErbB receptor tyrosine kinase activity. To this end, we directly

---

**Figure 6** Direct and specific Ca2+-dependent binding of biotinylated CaM to immunoprecipitated ErbB2

The immunoprecipitated ErbB2 from 2.6 mg of protein cell extract of SK-BR-3 cells of a non-stimulated culture (−) or a culture stimulated (+) with 10 nM EGF for 1 min, were subjected to overlay with 0.45 µg/ml biotinylated CaM in the presence of 0.2 mM CaCl2 (Ca2+) and 135 µg/ml free CaM as indicated, and described in the Experimental section. A control in the absence of CaCl2 and presence of 1 mM EGTA is also presented (EGTA). The PVDF membrane was sequentially stripped and reprobed with the anti-phosphotyrosine antibody (α-P-Tyr), and the anti-ErbB2 antibody (α-ErbB2). The arrows point to ErbB2, and the asterisk indicates p160. Representative results from five (A) and two (B) independent experiments are presented.

**Figure 7** The CaM antagonist W7 inhibits ErbB2 phosphorylation in intact cells

(A) Serum-deprived SK-BR-3 cells were treated with 5 µg/ml W7 for 30 min and then stimulated with 100 ng/ml HRGβ1 for 2 min as indicated. Thereafter, solubilized cell membrane extracts (100 µg of protein) were prepared and subjected to SDS/PAGE, before electrotransfer of the proteins on to a PVDF membrane, and Western blot analysis using an anti-phosphotyrosine antibody (α-P-Tyr) as described in the Experimental section. The PVDF membrane was subsequently stripped and reprobed with the anti-ErbB2 antibody (α-ErbB2). The arrows point to ErbB2. Representative results from five (A) and two (B) independent experiments are presented.
Rat liver purified EGF (40 µg) was incubated for 15 min on ice in the absence or presence of 15 µg/ml W12, 15 µg/ml W13 or 15 µg/ml W7 as indicated, and thereafter assayed for 2 min at 37°C in 100 µl of a medium containing 15 mM HEPES/NaOH (pH 7.4), 5 mM MgCl2, 0.4 mM EGTA, 0.5 mM CaCl2 (0.1 mM free Ca2+), 0.1 mg/ml poly-L-Glu/L-Tyr, 1 µM EGF and 10 µM (pS-32)ATP (2 µCi). The reaction was arrested upon addition of 10% (v/v) trichloroacetic acid, and the precipitated proteins were processed by SDS/PAGE and autoradiography as indicated in the Experimental section. Results are means±range of the densitometric intensities of the autophosphorylated 170 kDa EGFR band (grey bars) and the phosphorylated exogenous substrate poly-L-Glu-L-Tyr (black bars) for two independent experiments, considering 100% to be the values obtained in the absence of CaM antagonists.

**DISCUSSION**

In the present report, we have extended our studies on the interaction of CaM with tyrosine kinase receptors of the EGFR family to ErbB2. Hence, we have shown that CaM binds specifically and directly to ErbB2 in a Ca2+-dependent manner. The functional activation of ErbB2 by HRGβ1 or EGF obligatorily requires
its heterodimerization with another ErbB receptor partner. The human breast adenocarcinoma SK-BR-3 cells used in the present study overexpress ErbB2, and express limited amounts of EGFR, ErbB3 and ErbB4 [26], sufficient, however, to warrant the activation of ErbB2 upon ligand stimulation, either by HRG\(\beta 1\) or EGF. Since we had demonstrated previously that EGFR interacts directly with CaM in a specific Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent manner [12–16], it was important to exclude the possibility that this receptor was interfering with the observed interaction between CaM and ErbB2. Our results clearly demonstrate that the low level of EGFR expression in this cell line, although it may be required for ErbB2 activation, was not interfering, as the immunoprecipitated ErbB2 preparations used in this study were free of EGFR. We also observed that the activation of ErbB2 does not appear to significantly modify the binding of CaM to this receptor, similarly to what we had previously shown with the binding of CaM to EGFR [15,16].

When we used immunoprecipitated ErbB2, in addition to demonstrating the Ca\(^{2+}\)–CaM complex binds directly and specifically to this receptor, we showed that these preparations contain an additional 160 kDa CaM-binding protein (p160), suggesting that this protein co-immunoprecipitated with ErbB2. Interestingly, we have shown previously that two CaM-binding proteins (p190 and p160) co-immunoprecipitated with EGFR from two different cell lines tested [15]. The nature and function of these CaM-dependent proteins are unknown, although it is tempting to speculate that the p160 that co-immunoprecipitated with both ErbB2 and EGFR is the same protein.

The high level of [\(^{3}H\)]thymidine incorporation into DNA in these cells in the absence of added growth factors, and the absence of stimulation of this basal incorporation level upon addition of exogenous HRG\(\beta 1\) or EGF, suggest the production by these cells of endogenous ErbB ligands(s) able to induce autocrine/paracrine stimulation, and/or that the activated ErbB2 may additionally intervene in non-proliferative pathways, for example in cell migration, as already demonstrated [29]. Therefore the potential functional role that CaM may have in modulating ErbB2 activity could encompass not only the regulation of cell proliferation, but perhaps additional functions mediated by this receptor such as the modulation of cell motility. Because ErbB2 cannot form functional homodimers [1,2,5,6], it was not possible to test the effect of CaM on the tyrosine kinase activity of an isolated preparation of this receptor directly, in contrast with the case of EGFR where we indeed demonstrated that CaM inhibits its tyrosine kinase activity [12,13]. Therefore indirect approaches should be employed to obtain some clues about the possible action of CaM on the functionality of ErbB2. A logical option was to use a cell-permeant CaM antagonist in intact living cells to determine its action on ErbB2 activation. To this end we used the CaM antagonist W7 [30].

When we tested W7 in vitro, we observed that this compound does not prevent the Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent association of ErbB2 to CaM–agarose. On the contrary, we observed an increase binding of ErbB2. This suggests that the region of CaM involved in the interaction with ErbB2 is not affected by the conformational change of CaM induced by this inhibitor, and that the inhibition of endogenous CaM, possibly present in the preparation, favours the binding of ErbB2 to the immobilized CaM. This does not exclude, however, that the CaM–W7 complex was incompetent in blocking a regulatory response of CaM on ErbB2. In fact, our results show that treating intact living cells with W7 significantly diminishes the HRG\(\beta 1\)-dependent phosphorylation of ErbB2. Similarly, we have observed in other cell lines that overexpress EGFR that W7, and a related CaM antagonist (W13), inhibit the EGF-dependent phosphorylation of EGFR in vivo [16]. Moreover, W7 has been shown to inhibit EGF-induced, but not EGF-independent, proliferation of a human hepatoma cell line [31].

The use of W7 in intact cells, where we observed the down-regulation of ErbB2 tyrosine phosphorylation, made it mandatory to test that this CaM antagonist does not act as a non-specific ATP-binding site kinase inhibitor, as it has been shown for protein kinase C, albeit at extremely high concentrations [28]. We tested directly, not only W7, but also W13 and W12, other members of this family of CaM antagonists with different inhibitory potentials (W7 > W13 > W12), on the tyrosine kinase activity of isolated EGFR. Our results show conclusively that none of these compounds inhibit the EGF-induced autophosphorylation of the receptor nor the tyrosine kinase activity toward an exogenous substrate. Therefore we conclude that the observed effect of W7 on living cells on ErbB2 (in the present study) and EGFR [16] is not due to any artifactual inhibition of the receptor tyrosine kinase activity.

We also noticed that treatment of cells with W7 in the absence of HRG\(\beta 1\) induced a decrease in the basal phosphorylation of ErbB2 as detected after immunoprecipitation of the receptor, but this inhibition was not detected after isolation of ErbB2 from detergent-solubilized membranes. The reason could be the existence of different pools of ErbB2 within different cell membranes, as we have detected a Triton X-100-insoluble membrane pool containing high levels of phosphorylated ErbB2, which appears not to be affected by W7 treatment (results not shown).

How the inhibition of CaM by W7 in intact cells mediates the inactivation of ErbB2 is not yet known. The indirect inhibition of CaM-dependent kinases, such as CaMK-II and CaMK-IV, was considered. This, however, is very unlikely, as the phosphorylation of ErbB2 at Thr\(^{172}\) by CaMK-II has been documented, and the mutation of this phosphorylation site produces a defect in the desensitization of an ErbB2–EGFR chimaera and a more sustained EGF-induced phosphorylation of this receptor as compared with a non-mutated chimeraic receptor [32]. These observations, therefore, do not support the idea that failure in phosphorylation of ErbB2 by CaMK-II could be responsible for our findings because W7 treatment induced receptor down-regulation, not receptor up-regulation.

Consequently, some other possibilities were considered. Among these, several conceivable options should be mentioned: (i) that the inhibition of intracellular CaM could facilitate ErbB2 dephosphorylation by activation of a phosphatase down-regulated by CaM; (ii) that inhibition of CaM could increase the internalization of ErbB2, preventing in this manner access to extracellular HRG\(\beta 1\); and (iii) that CaM inhibition could induce a redistribution of ErbB2 between different intracellular compartments, as we have noticed the presence of a conspicuous ErbB2 pool resistant to Triton X-100 solubilization in SK-BR-3 cells (results not shown). In this context, although several protein tyrosine phosphatases have been shown to dephosphorylate ErbB2 [33–35], we are not aware, however, that these dephosphorylation pathways are under the control of CaM. In contrast, with respect to ErbB2 internalization, there is the precedent that CaM has been shown to be involved in the intracellular trafficking of EGFR, a related receptor of the same family, as the treatment of living cells with the CaM antagonist W13 induces the sequestration of this receptor in endosomes [36]. Therefore it is likely that ErbB2 could behave in the same manner. Notwithstanding the uncertainties about the mechanism of action of W7 on the decreased phosphorylation of ErbB2, our results show clearly that CaM plays a direct or indirect role on the regulation of the activity or ErbB2 in intact cells.

Furthermore, we tested the effect of W7 on ErbB2-initiated downstream signalling pathways in living cells. As expected, the
Ras/MAPK (ERK), and PI3K/Akt pathways were both inhibited concomitant with ErbB2 down-regulation. These inhibitory actions should not, however, be ascribed exclusively to a decrease in ErbB2 signalling capacity, as CaM is known to regulate several steps of the Ras/MAPK (ERK) pathway directly [37]. Thus W13, a related CaM antagonist, has been shown to inhibit the Ras/MAPK (ERK) pathway to the level of Raf-1, a kinase upstream of ERK1/2 [36]. Nevertheless, the action of CaM on the Ras/MAPK (ERK) pathway appears to be very complex, as in some cell types, it has been shown that CaM blockade by W13 induces a sustained activation of this signalling route at the level of Ras, Raf and MEK [38].

An increase in [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{cyt}\) is known to control, with the intervention of CaM, the function of several Ca\(^{2+}\)-responsive transcription factors such as CREB [39–42], ATF1 [43] and NFAT [44,45], among others. We have shown that the treatment of living cells with W7 elicits the up-regulation of CREB and ATF1. These results support the notion that W7 is indeed inactivating CaM, with W7 eliciting the up-regulation of CREB and ATF1. These factors such as CREB [39–42], ATF1 [43] and NFAT [44,45], is indeed the case.

ErbB4, particularly the latter, could also be CaM-binding proteins [11,14]. Further work should be carried out to demonstrate if this is indeed the case.
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