Influence of amino acid residue 374 of cytochrome P-450 2D6 (CYP2D6) on the regio- and enantio-selective metabolism of metoprolol
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Cytochrome P-450 2D6 (CYP2D6) is an important human drug-metabolizing enzyme responsible for the oxidation of more than 30 widely used therapeutic agents. The enzymes encoded by the published genomic [Kimura, Umeno, Skoda, Meyer and Gonzalez (1989) Am. J. Hum. Genet. 45, 889–904] and cDNA [Gonzalez, Skoda, Kimura, Umeno, Zanger, Nebert, Gelboin, Hardwick and Meyer (1988) Nature 331, 442–446] sequences of CYP2D6, and presumed to represent wild-type sequences, differ at residue 374 and encode valine (CYP2D6-Val) and methionine (CYP2D6-Met) respectively. The influence of this amino acid difference on cytochrome P-450 expression, ligand binding, catalysis and stereoselective oxidation of metoprolol was investigated by the heterologous expression of the corresponding cDNAs in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. The level of expression of apo- and holo-protein was similar with each form of CYP2D6 cDNA, and the binding affinities of a series of ligands to CYP2D6-Val and CYP2D6-Met were identical. The enantioselective O-demethylation and α-hydroxylation of metoprolol were also similar with each form of CYP2D6. O-demethylation being R(+)-enantioselective (CYP2D6-Val: R/S, 1.6; CYP2D6-Met: R/S, 1.4), whereas α-hydroxylation showed a preference for S(−)-metoprolol (CYP2D6-Val: R/S, 0.7; CYP2D6-Met: R/S, 0.8). However, although the favoured regiomer overall was O-demethylmetoprolol (ODM), the regio-selectivity for O-demethylation of each metoprolol enantiomer was significantly greater for CYP2D6-Val [R(+)-: ODM/α-hydroxymetoprolol (αOH), 5.9; S(−)-: ODM/αOH, 2.5] than that observed for CYP2D6-Met [R(+)-: ODM/αOH, 2.2; S(−)-: ODM/αOH, 1.4]. The stereoselective properties of CYP2D6-Val were consistent with those observed for CYP2D6 in human liver microsomes. The difference in the stereoselective properties of CYP2D6-Val and CYP2D6-Met were rationalized with respect to a homology model of the active site of CYP2D6 based on an alignment with the crystal structure of the bacterial cytochrome P-450_{Pseudomonas putida} CYP102.

INTRODUCTION

Cytochrome P-450 2D6 (CYP2D6), also known as debrisoquine 4-hydroxylase, is an important human drug-metabolizing enzyme. It is responsible for the metabolism of many therapeutic agents, including cardiac antiarrhythmics, β-adrenergic antagonists, antidepressants and neuroleptics [1,2], and some drugs of abuse, such as ecstasy [3]. An additional significant feature of CYP2D6 is its marked genetic polymorphism, often referred to as the debrisoquine/sparteine polymorphism [4–6]. This polymorphism is inherited as an autosomal recessive trait and is due to mutant or null alleles resulting in absent or functionally deficient CYP2D6 protein [7–11].

The published cDNA [12] and genomic [13] sequences of CYP2D6, presumed to represent wild-type sequences, encode identical proteins except for a single amino acid difference at position 374, namely methionine in the cDNA sequence and valine in the genomic sequence. Independently, we have isolated a cDNA of CYP2D6 and found that the encoded amino acid sequence was identical to that of the genomic sequence, encoding valine at position 374 [14,15].

Multiple alignment studies of the CYP2 family relative to cytochrome P-450_{Pseudomonas putida} (CYP101) [16–18] indicate that amino acid residue 374 lies in the conserved β1–4 sheet region of CYP2D6. It is located in one of the six (fifth) substrate recognition sites (SRSs) inferred by Gotoh [16] as being important in substrate binding in the CYP2 family of cytochrome P-450s. A recent multiple alignment study [19] based on structural comparisons between the crystal structures of three bacterial cytochrome P-450s, namely CYP101 [20], cytochrome P-450_{Pseudomonas putida} from Bacillus megaterium (CYP102) [21] and cytochrome P-450_{Pseudomonas sp.} (CYP108) [22], supports the SRS designations of Gotoh [16] but stipulates minor changes to the alignments at SRS2 and SRS4. Together, these data suggest that amino acid residue 374 lies in the active site of CYP2D6 and could influence substrate specificity and/or catalytic activity.

Homology models of the active site of CYP2D6 have been generated [18,23] based on alignments with the structures of CYP101 and CYP102 respectively. However, these models did not identify amino acid 374 as a possible residue in the active site of CYP2D6. We have investigated the influence of Val-374 and Met-374 on the catalytic activity of CYP2D6 by the heterologous...
expression of the two isolated CYP2D6 cDNAs in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae [14]. Using metoprolol (Figure 1) as a probe substrate [24], the regio- and enantio-selective properties of microsomal preparations of yeast-derived CYP2D6-Val and CYP2D6-Met were compared with that of native CYP2D6 in microsomes isolated from four human livers. The experimental data were subsequently related to a computer-derived homology model of the active site of CYP2D6 based on alignment with the crystal structure of CYP102.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

CYP2D6 cDNAs were isolated by Dr. F.J. Gonzalez [12] and by us (C.R. Wolf, unpublished work). DNA-modifying enzymes were purchased from Northumbria Biologicals Limited (Cramlington, U.K.). Linker DNA (BamHI 8-mer) was purchased from Promega (Madison, WI, U.S.A.). Geneclean for DNA purification was purchased from BIO 101 (La Jolla, CA, U.S.A.). Phosphoglycerate kinase promoter and terminator, was a gift from Dr. S. Kingsman (Oxford University, Oxford, U.K.). Escherichia coli DH5α (F– recA1 endA1 gyrA96 thi-1 hsdR17 relA1 supE44 λ– ΔlacU169 808lacZΔM15) and S. cerevisiae AH22 strain (α a leu2-3 leu2-112 can1 cir+ his4-519) were used as host strains. Yeast nitrogen base without amino acids, bactopeptone, bacto-tryptone and bacto-agar were purchased from Difco Laboratories (Detroit, MI, U.S.A.). Human liver microsomes (HL5, HL7, HL9 and HL10) were prepared from livers in our bank [25]. Microsomes from human lymphoblastoid cells (AHH-1), transfected with and without a functional human CYP2D6-Met cDNA, were purchased from the Gentest Corporation (Woburn, MA, U.S.A.). Metoprolol tartrate (racemate), and 0-demethylmetoprolol (ODM) and α-hydroxymetoprolol (αOH) hydroxybenzoate metabolites were gifts from AB Hassle (Mölndal, Sweden). The hydrochloride salts of R(+)- and S(−)-metoprolol were gifts from Ciba-Geigy (Basle, Switzerland). All other chemicals were purchased from Sigma (Poole, Dorset, U.K.) and were of the highest grade of purity.

Construction of expression plasmids (pELT1 and pELT2)

Recombinant DNA procedures were performed according to standard methods [26]. Briefly, the coding region of each CYP2D6 cDNA was isolated as an EcoRI fragment (1.56 kb) from pTZ19B-based vectors (pMP201 and pMP102). The 3′ recessed ends were filled in with Klenow and the blunt ends modified with BamHI linkers (8-mer). Following BamHI digestion, each BamHI-modified cDNA was ligated into the BglII cloning site of the expression plasmid pMA91 such that the ATG start codon of the CYP2D6 gene was distanced minimally (12 bp) from the end of the phosphoglycerate kinase promoter. Correct orientation of each cDNA was then verified by restriction analysis. Sequencing of the two cDNAs and the 5′ junction region of the constructed recombinant expression plasmids, pELT1 encoding valine and pELT2 encoding methionine at residue 374, was performed by the dideoxy method using the Sequenase version 2.0 kit (Amersham International, Amersham, U.K.).

Yeast culture conditions and microsome preparation

Transformation of S. cerevisiae was by electroporation [27]. Yeast cells transformed with pMA91, pELT1 or pELT2 were grown in batch culture comprising 1 l of liquid synthetic medium [0.67 % (w/v) yeast minimal medium without amino acids, 0.04 % (w/v) histidine and 3 % (w/v) glucose] in 2 l flasks for 42 h (to stationary phase) on an orbital shaker (200 r.p.m.) at 30 °C. All subsequent steps were performed at 0–4 °C. Yeast cells were harvested by centrifugation, washed with ice-cold distilled water and resuspended in 20 ml of ice-cold 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) containing 0.65 M sorbitol and 0.1 mM EDTA (microsomal buffer). Yeast cells were disrupted mechanically with glass beads (0.45–0.05 mm) at 4000 r.p.m. for 40 s with liquid carbon dioxide cooling in an MSK Cell Homogeniser (B. Braun Medical Limited, Aylesbury, U.K.). The microsomal fraction was prepared by centrifugation of the homogenate at 15000 g for 30 min at 4 °C to remove residual whole cells, cell debris and mitochondria, followed by a further centrifugation at 100000 g for 2 h at 4 °C (Beckman L-80 ultracentrifuge, Ti rotor) to sediment the microsomal (endoplasmic membrane) pellet. The pellet was washed twice with 5 ml of microsomal buffer and resuspended in the same buffer at a protein concentration of 15–30 mg/ml. Aliquots (0.5 ml) were immediately flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C prior to use.

Human liver microsomes were prepared as described previously [28] and stored at −80 °C as a suspension in 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) containing 30 % (v/v) glycerol.

Spectrophotometric measurements

The cytochrome P-450 content of yeast microsomes was measured by reduced CO difference spectroscopy using an absorbance coefficient of 91 mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹, essentially according to the method of Omura and Sato [29]. Briefly, samples (1 ml) of microsomal suspension were reduced for 2 min with 20 µl of a fresh aqueous solution of Na₂S₂O₄ (100 mg/ml). A baseline of equal absorbance was recorded using a Shimadzu UV/Vis-3000 double-beam dual-wavelength spectrophotometer. CO was bubbled through the contents of the sample cuvette for 1 min, and the resulting difference spectrum was recorded 6 min after the initial addition of Na₂S₂O₄.

Apparent dissociation constants (Kₛ) of several known ligands of CYP2D6 were determined from substrate-induced difference spectra [30]. Briefly, a baseline of equal absorbance of yeast microsomes containing 0.1–0.5 µM CYP2D6 was recorded from 500 to 350 nm using a Shimadzu UV/Vis-3000 spectrophotometer. Difference spectra were then recorded every 2 min after incremental additions of ligand (usually dissolved in dimethyl sulphoxide) to the sample cuvette and the equivalent volume of solvent to the reference cuvette. The final dilution was less than 2 %. Apparent Kₛ values were determined from double reciprocal plots of absorbance change (ΔA 385–420 nm) against substrate concentration.
Microsomal incubations

Incubations at a single substrate concentration were conducted in glass tubes with 10 pmol of yeast-derived CYP2D6-Val, CYP2D6-Met or lymphoblastoid-derived CYP2D6-Met (0.1–0.3 mg of microsomal protein), 40 µM R(+)-, S(−)- or racemic metoprolol dissolved in 1.15% (w/v) KCl and a NADPH-generating system dissolved in 0.2 M potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). The NADPH-generating system consisted of 0.4 µmol of NADP, 4 µmol of glucose 6-phosphate, 2 µmol of MgCl₂, and 0.4 unit of glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase. The total incubation volume was 0.5 ml. All reactions were initiated by the addition of microsomes, and incubations were carried out at 37 °C for 10 min under open air in a shaking water bath (100 oscillations/min). The metabolic reactions were terminated by transferring 0.45 ml of incubate to plastic vials containing 50 µl of 6% (v/v) perchloric acid. Preliminary experiments indicated that the formation velocities of metabolites of each substrate were linear up to 10 min and 2 mg of microsomal protein.

Kinetic analyses of the O-demethylation and α-hydroxylation of metoprolol enantiomers by yeast and human liver microsomes were made over a substrate concentration range of 5–2000 µM. Four different microsomal preparations of yeast-derived CYP2D6-Val and CYP2D6-Met were investigated together with microsomes prepared from four different human livers (HL5, HL7, HL9 and HL10). Incubations of each microsomal preparation were performed in duplicate at each substrate concentration. As controls, boiled human microsomes and microsomes prepared from yeast cells transformed with plasmid lacking CYP2D6 cDNA were included in each set of incubations.

Analysis of metoprolol metabolites

Metabolites were assayed according to a modification of the method of Otton and co-workers [24]. An internal standard, guanoxan hemisulphate (2 µg), was added to each inactivated incubation mixture and vortexed before centrifugation at 12000 g to precipitate the microsomal protein. Aliquots (0.45 ml) of supernatant were transferred to glass tubes containing 0.5 ml of 4 M NaOH and 5 ml of methyl-tert-butyl ether, and the mixture was vortexed at room temperature for 2 min. After centrifugation at 900 g for 5 min, the organic phase was transferred to conical glass tubes and evaporated to dryness under vacuum at 40 °C using a Buchler vortex-evaporator (Baired and Tatlock, Romford, U.K.). The residue was reconstituted in 200 µl of mobile phase comprising water/acetonitrile (90:10, v/v) containing 1% (w/v) triethylamine adjusted to pH 3 with orthophosphoric acid and subjected to HPLC analysis. The sample was applied to a Z-module column system containing a Nova-Pak C18 reverse-phase cartridge (Millipore, Harrow, U.K.) and eluted with the mobile phase at a flow rate of 3.0 ml/min. The eluate was monitored with a 970FSP Schoeffel/Kratos fluorescence detector (HPLC Technology, Macclesfield, U.K.) operated at an excitation wavelength of 193 nm and with an emission filter at 300 nm.

Calibration samples were prepared by adding the appropriate concentrations of ODM and αOH to 0.5 ml of yeast microsomes, prepared from cells transformed with plasmid pMA91, in 0.2 M potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). The concentration range of standards was 0.05–0.4 µM for ODM and 0.045–0.35 µM for αOH. After adding an internal standard (guanoxan hemisulphate; 2 µg), the calibration samples were processed in the same manner as described for the incubation samples. The peak height ratios of metabolites versus that of the internal standard were plotted against the standard concentrations.

The limit of detection of ODM and αOH was 15 nM. The coefficients of variation for the assay were less than 5%, at ODM and αOH concentrations of 0.8 and 0.7 µM respectively.

Data analysis

Data from kinetic experiments were analysed by NONLIN, an iterative least-squares fitting program, using initial estimates of Ki and Vmax obtained from Eadie–Hofstee plots. The kinetic parameters were compared by analysis of variance. When significant differences were noted between groups, the data were compared using the Mann–Whitney test.

Computational methods

Molecular modelling was performed using the in-house Upjohn modelling system known as ‘MOSAIC’. Crystal co-ordinates of CYP102 were taken from the Brookhaven Protein Databank (code 2HPD) [31] to use as a core framework for modelling. The active site and channel regions comprising the haem group and residues Thr-10-Val-26, Phe-42-Tyr-51, Asn-70-Ser-89, Ser-176–Asp-194, Ile-259–Thr-269, Pro-326–Leu-333, Glu-352–Ile-357 and Glu-435–Lys-439 of CYP102 [21] were extracted from the X-ray crystal structure and the residues substituted with those of CYP2D6 according to the alignment in Figure 3 [18]. Energy minimization was performed using the Macromodel/ Batchmin version 3.5 implementation of Amber [32]. The protein backbone and haem moiety were fixed during energy minimization in order to prevent excessive displacement of the secondary structural elements. The CYP2D6 probe substrate metoprolol was docked visually into the active site, the aromatic ring being positioned in a perpendicular fashion with respect to the haem moiety [33]. The basic nitrogen of the substrate, which is thought to interact with a negatively charged binding site in the protein [34], was positioned 2.5–4.5 Å [33] from the carbonyl anion of aspartic acid-301, the amino acid implicated in this specific electrostatic interaction. Each site of oxidation of metoprolol (methoxy carbon and α-methylene carbon) was positioned 4 Å [20,33] from the catalytic iron atom of the haem moiety. These positional constraints conform with the published pharmacophore models of CYP2D6 substrates, which specify a distance of 5 or 7 Å [34,36,37] from the site of oxidation to the basic nitrogen atom of the substrate. This procedure was used to dock R(−)- and S(+) -metoprolol in the active site of CYP2D6 encoding either valine or methionine at position 374. Following the adoption of these constraints, energy minimization was performed as indicated previously.

RESULTS

Spectral characterization

The reduced CO-difference spectra of microsomes prepared from yeast cells expressing CYP2D6-Val and CYP2D6-Met showed similar specific contents of holo-protein (37±36 and 40±33 pmol·mg⁻¹ microsomal protein respectively), both with Soret peaks at 448 nm and no detectable cytochrome P-420 (Figure 2). Furthermore, immunoblot analysis revealed similar levels of apo-protein in each microsomal preparation (results not shown).

Substrate-induced difference spectra with yeast-derived CYP2D6-Val and CYP2D6-Met microsomal preparations illustrated identical binding properties, classical type I spectra (λmax ~ 385 nm, λmin ~ 420 nm) being obtained with all tested substrates and inhibitors, including quindine, a selective potent inhibitor of CYP2D6 [24]. The apparent Ki values of each ligand...
Regioselectivity of O-demethylation and α-hydroxylation of R(-)- and S(-)-metoprolol

Estimates of the apparent Michaelis– Menten constants for O-demethylation and α-hydroxylation of R(-)- and S(-)-metoprolol with yeast-derived CYP2D6-Val and CYP2D6-Met are shown in Table 2. The $K_m$ values for both oxidative processes were in the low micromolar range, between 17 and 46 μM. No significant difference was detected between the affinity of CYP2D6-Val for O-demethylation and α-hydroxylation of R(-)- and S(-)-metoprolol. The affinity of CYP2D6-Met for O-demethylation and α-hydroxylation of S(-)-metoprolol was similar to that observed with CYP2D6-Val, although the $K_m$ values for both routes of oxidation of R(-)-metoprolol were marginally, yet significantly, lower than those of S(-)-metoprolol (19 and 17 μM; $P<0.05$) (Table 2).

With both CYP2D6-Val and CYP2D6-Met, the $V_{max}$ values for O-demethylation of R(-)- and S(-)-metoprolol were consistently higher than the corresponding α-hydroxylation values. However, significant differences in the regioselective metabolism of the two forms of CYP2D6, as measured by the ratio of O-demethylation to α-hydroxylation of each enantiomer, were observed (Table 2). Thus with R(-)-metoprolol an ODM/αOH ratio of 5.9 was obtained with CYP2D6-Val, in contrast to an ODM/αOH ratio of 2.2 with CYP2D6-Met. Smaller, but still significant, differences in regioselectivity between the two forms of CYP2D6 were observed with S(-)-metoprolol (Table 2). These differences in regioselectivity were a consequence of decreases (30–40%) in the rate of O-demethylation and increases (30–60%) in the rate of α-hydroxylation with CYP2D6-Met relative to CYP2D6-Val. The regioselective differences between CYP2D6-Val and CYP2D6-Met were also apparent when racemic metoprolol at a single substrate concentration (40 μM) was incubated with the two forms of CYP2D6. Thus with the racemate, ODM/αOH ratios of 3.8:1 (ODM, 1.88; αOH, 0.50 pmol/min per pmol of cytochrome P-450) and 1.9:1 (ODM, 1.28; αOH, 0.68 pmol/min per pmol of cytochrome P-450) were observed with CYP2D6-Val and CYP2D6-Met respectively. These ratios are similar to the ODM/αOH ratios interpolated from the combined R(-)- and S(-)- enantiomer data shown in Table 2 (CYP2D6-Val, 4.2; CYP2D6-Met, 1.8) indicating mutual competition between the enantiomers in the racemic mixture.

In contrast to the significant differences in the regioselectivity
of the two forms of CYP2D6, no differences in enantioselectivity were observed. Thus although O-demethylation of metoprolol was significantly R-enantioselective and α-hydroxylation showed a slight preference for S-(−)-metoprolol, this enantioselectivity was apparent with both CYP2D6-Val and CYP2D6-Met (Table 2).

Since CYP2D6-Met was available commercially in microsomes prepared from human lymphoblastoid cells (Gentest, Woburn, MA, U.S.A.), the regioselective and enantioselective properties of this cDNA-derived CYP2D6-Met were investigated using metoprolol at a single substrate concentration (40 μM). The regioselective and enantioselective properties of lymphoblastoid-derived CYP2D6-Met were similar to those observed with yeast-derived CYP2D6-Met (Table 3).

The kinetics of formation of ODM and αOH by native CYP2D6 in human liver microsomes are summarized in Table 2. The mean $K_m$ values for both oxidative processes with each metropolon enantiomer were not significantly different and were in the low micromolar range (between 29 and 44 μM), similar to the values obtained with yeast-derived CYP2D6 (Table 2). The $V_{max}$ values for O-demethylation as compared with α-hydroxylation were consistently higher for both metropolon enantiomers in all four human liver microsome preparations. This is reflected in the regioselectivity ratios of 4.0 and 2.1 being observed for $R$-(+)- and $S$-(−)-metoprolol respectively (Table 2). Furthermore, $V_{max}$ values for O-demethylation were consistently higher for $R$-(+)-metoprolol than for $S$-(−)-metoprolol. In contrast, $V_{max}$ values for α-hydroxylation were slightly less for $R$-(+)-metoprolol compared with those for $S$-(−)-metoprolol. This is reflected in mean enantioselectivity ratios of 1.7 and 0.9 for O-demethylation and α-hydroxylation respectively (Table 2).

### Homology modelling of the active site of CYP2D6

Hasemann and co-workers [19] have made a detailed comparison of three [20–22] of the four available bacterial cytochrome $P$-450 crystal structures and conclude that they are similar with respect to their overall fold and secondary structural elements. These workers also propose that, in light of their studies, all cytochrome $P$-450s will be found to possess the same tertiary structure. This claim is substantiated by the recent publication of the crystal structure of a fourth bacterial cytochrome $P$-450, cytochrome $P$-450eryf [38].

CYP102 was chosen as a template for modelling in the present study because it segregates with the eukaryotic families 4 and 52 in the cytochrome $P$-450 phylogenetic tree [21], and has only marginal primary structural homology with cytochrome $P$-450s from other bacteria. Also, alignments can be generated that have fewer sequence gaps, thus removing some of the uncertainty associated with gaps in models based on CYP101. In relation to the active-site regions, it is apparent from the work of Hasemann and co-workers [19] that the location of the I helix and the β1-1, β1-2, β1-3, β1-4 and β6-2 sheet regions are similar in CYP101, CYP102 and CYP108, but that there is greater positional variation in the A, B' and F helices and the β4-1 sheet region. Therefore a CYP2D6 active site model based on CYP102 is likely to be speculative with respect to the upper (A and F helices, β4-1 sheet) regions of the model as well as one of the active-site faces (B' helix plus associated loop region), but acceptable with respect to the active-site faces defined by the I helix, the β1-4 sheet region (the subject of this paper) and the remaining β-sheet regions. A model was therefore built to assist the localization and visualization of amino acid residue 374 in the secondary structural elements of
Figure 4  Active-site model generated from the alignment of CYP102 with CYP2D6-Val outlined in Figure 3

Residues are colour coded as follows: key residues including Asp-301 and Val-374 (white), Pro-39–Pro-55 and Leu-71–Val-79 (cyan), Asp-100–Ala-122 (magenta), Leu-208–Ala-226 (yellow), Ala-300–Thr-310 (blue), Ile-369–His-376 (red), Thr-394–Leu-399 (cyan), His-478–Ala-482 (yellow) and the porphyrin ring (green).

the CYP2D6 active site, relative to the probe substrate, meto-

The alignment used in this study (Figure 3) is based primarily
on that of Lewis [18] with a minor modification in the $\beta 1-4$
region, namely movement of the sequence by one residue, such
that positions Val-374 and His-376 of CYP2D6 were in alignment
with Phe-331 and Leu-333 of CYP102 respectively (Figure 3).
This alignment was established as a result of comparative studies
between CYP102 and CYP108, which had virtually identical
secondary structural elements in the $\beta 1-4$ region [22]. The
CYP108 sequence was useful for comparative purposes, as it
possesses a basic residue (Arg-319) that is responsible for co-
ordinating the haem propionate, in common with the majority
of the cytochrome P-450 super-family but unlike CYP102.
Therefore it was possible to align His-376 (CYP2D6) with Arg-
319 (CYP108) and hence Leu-333 (CYP102). It is worthy of note
that the secondary structures of CYP102 and CYP108 are very
similar despite having very low sequence similarity. For instance,
Pro-329 (CYP102) pairs with Lys-315 (CYP108) and Pro-313
(CYP108) pairs with Thr-327 (CYP102) in different regions of
the sequence, but there is no overall change in the secondary
structures. This alignment is consistent with that of others
[16,19,39] with respect to the I helix, which is particularly
important in CYP2D6 since it forms one side of the active-site
cavity. The resulting CYP2D6 active-site model is illustrated
in Figure 4, and is colour coded according to sequence. The active
site consists of a cavity bordered by hydrophobic residues; impor-
tant active-site residues in the model include Thr-309 and
Thr-312 of the oxygen-binding site, Asp-301 (anionic binding
site), Ser-304 and Ala-305 of the I helix and Pro-371, Gly-373
and Val-374 of the $\beta 1-4$-sheet region. The active-site area was
further defined by a lipophilic pocket bordered by Val-480 and
Phe-481 of the loop and $\beta 6-2$ region. This model identifies Asp-
301 as the critical substrate-contact residue involved in the
proposed electrostatic interaction between the basic nitrogen of
the substrate and a negatively charged site in the active site,
which is in agreement with recent experimental data [35].

DISCUSSION

The experimental data show that the level and stability of
expression of CYP2D6 is not influenced by the choice of valine
or methionine at amino acid residue 374. Furthermore, this
amino acid difference does not influence the substrate binding of
a range of CYP2D6 ligands, as determined from their apparent
binding coefficients. However, the experimental data do indicate
that the choice of valine or methionine at position 374 can alter
the regioselective oxidation of metoprolol but does not influence
the chiral selectivity of the enzyme. The difference in regio-
selectivity was not a consequence of the further metabolism of
ODM and $\alpha$OH, since neither form of CYP2D6 was able to
oxidize these primary metabolites (results not shown).

It was of interest to consider these findings with regard to the
CYP2D6 active-site model. The alignment of CYP2D6 with that
of CYP102 (Figure 3) clearly places residue 374 in the $\beta 1-4$
sheet of the protein, which forms part of the wall of the active site. This
region is well defined and can be substantiated with reference to
His-376, since this residue is highly conserved throughout the
cytochrome P-450 super-family, and is thought to be responsible
for binding one of the propionio acid groups in the porphyrin
Amino acid residue 374 and CYP2D6 activity

Figure 5  Features of the active site of CYP2D6-Val (left) and CYP2D6-Met (right)

Metoprolol (blue) is orientated vertically with respect to the porphyrin ring (green). The substrate makes contact with residues of the I helix (magenta) but does not interact with Val-374 (yellow) of CYP2D6-Val (left), but does contact Met-374 of CYP2D6-Met (right). Functional groups involved in electrostatic (Asp-301) and hydrogen-bonding (Ser-304) interactions are marked in white and red respectively.

Metoprolol binding in the active site of CYP2D6-Val suggest that Val-374 (yellow in Figure 5, left-hand side) is unable to contact the substrate during the binding process and consequently is unlikely to influence the regio- or enantioselective oxidation of the substrate. However, modelling of the active site of CYP2D6-Met (yellow in Figure 5, right-hand side) indicates that Met-374, which is larger and extends into the active site, is able to contact the substrate at the catalytic centre, thereby sterically influencing the regioselective oxidation of metoprolol. It is also apparent that the Val/Met-374 locus resides on the opposite wall to, and significantly remote from, the Asp-301 and Ser-304 residues (Figures 4 and 5), both of which lie in the I helix. Residue Ser-304 lies close to, and has the potential to hydrogen bond with, the chiral alcohol of metoprolol (Figure 5), thus providing a possible explanation for the observed chiral selectivity of CYP2D6 for the metabolism of this substrate (Tables 2 and 3). Modelling studies have also shown that the chiral hydroxy group of quinidine, a potent, selective inhibitor of CYP2D6, can potentially also form a hydrogen bond with Ser-304, whereas quinine, its diastereoisomer, has less potential to undertake this interaction [18]. This could provide an explanation for the 100-fold difference in inhibition of CYP2D6 by quinidine and quinine [24]. In summary, the computational studies of the active site of CYP2D6 suggest that the Val/Met-374 locus is unlikely to influence the enantioselective properties of the enzyme but could be a determinant of regioselective metabolism. This is entirely consistent with the experimental data presented (Table 2).

As mentioned previously, a homology model of the active site of CYP2D6 requires the participation of a negatively charged residue in the protein to enable the predicted electrostatic interaction with the basic nitrogen of the substrate [34,37]. The current homology model predicts the participation of aspartic acid-301 in this interaction. This conforms with the predictions of refs. [23,33] and has been confirmed experimentally by Ellis et al. [35] by site-directed mutagenesis studies. The possible involvement of aspartic acid-100 [23] as an alternative candidate residue for this interaction cannot be rationalized with our homology model due to its peripheral location (Figure 4).

The question arises as to the nature of the discrepancy between the published cDNA and genomic sequences of CYP2D6. The transition of G → A at bp 1120, resulting in the change of valine to methionine at position 374 of CYP2D6, results in the loss of a unique MaeIII (GTGAC) restriction site, thus allowing identification by restriction analysis following PCR amplification of the region of interest (244 bp spanning exon 7/intron 7). Using such an analysis, all attempts to identify individuals with CYP2D6 sequences encoding methionine at position 374 have been unsuccessful (G. Smith and C. R. Wolf, unpublished work). Thus it would appear that the original CYP2D6 cDNA isolated...
by Gonzalez et al. [12] containing A at 1120, and encoding methionine at 374, was artifactual, possibly arising from a cloning error.

The authenticity of the two CYP2D6 cDNAs can be further evaluated by comparison of the catalytic properties of the two cDNA-derived CYP2D6 proteins with that of native CYP2D6 in human liver microsomes. The data presented in this and previous studies [40] with human liver microsomes show that the stereoselective oxidation of metoprolol by native human CYP2D6 is more consistent with that of CYP2D6-Val than with CYP2D6-Met (Table 2), the regioselectivity of the latter being much lower than that observed with human liver CYP2D6 and CYP2D6-Val. The lower regioselectivity of CYP2D6-Met relative to human liver CYP2D6 is in agreement with data recently published by Mautz et al. [41] using human lymphoblastoid-derived CYP2D6-Met. In contrast to the difference in the regioselective oxidation of metoprolol, enantioselective metabolism by CYP2D6-Val and CYP2D6-Met was similar to that observed with native CYP2D6 in human liver microsomes. Again, this is in agreement with the data obtained using human lymphoblastoid-derived CYP2D6-Met [41].

Until recently, the only commercial source of recombinant CYP2D6 was from the Gentest Corporation. This was derived from the original CYP2D6-Met cDNA isolated by Gonzalez et al. [12], expressed heterologously in human lymphoblastoid cells. The present study has shown that the catalytic activity and the stereoselectivity of CYP2D6-Met is similar, irrespective of whether microsomes containing CYP2D6-Met were derived from human lymphoblastoid or yeast cells (Table 3). Thus it would appear that there is fidelity in expression of the same cDNA across different host cells. In the case of CYP2D6-Met at least, differences in membrane composition (phospholipids and sterols) and NADPH cytochrome P-450 reductase (yeast or human) do not influence catalytic activity with respect to metoprolol oxidation. The recent availability of microsomes containing CYP2D6-Val derived from human lymphoblastoid cells (Gentest Corporation) will allow this claim to be examined further by comparison with yeast-derived CYP2D6-Val.

In conclusion, molecular modelling studies based on the alignment of CYP2D6 with CYP102 places amino acid residue 374 directly in the active site of the enzyme and in a position to influence the regioselective oxidation of metoprolol. However, the residue is sufficiently distant from the chiral centre of metoprolol so as not to influence the enantioselective metabolism of this substrate. These observations are in complete agreement with the experimental data. The modelling studies also corroborate the participation of aspartic acid-301 in the electrostatic interaction with the basic nitrogen of the substrate. Furthermore, the model proposes serine-304 as a determinant of CYP2D6 enantioselectivity, as observed with metoprolol and other β-blockers, through the ability of this amino acid residue to hydrogen bond with substrates.
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